

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	v
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
Acknowledgments.....	xix
Foreword by <i>Tobias Debiel and Andrea Gawrich</i>	xxiii
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. The research puzzle.....	3
1.2. The research question.....	4
1.3. The central argument.....	5
1.4. Methods of data collection and analysis.....	6
1.5. The structure of this study.....	11
2. Conceptualizing corruption: Definitions, typologies and explanatory approaches.....	15
2.1. From worldview to the concept.....	15
2.2. Core characteristics of corruption.....	17
2.3. Varieties of corruption.....	19
2.3.1. Distinguishing context: Corruption as an exception vs. corruption as the norm.....	20
2.3.2. Distinguishing relevant forms of corruption.....	22
2.4. Corruption as an umbrella concept.....	24
2.4.1. Particularism and conflict of interest.....	25
2.4.2. Clientelism.....	28
2.4.3. Patronage.....	29
2.4.4. Control in clientelistic and patronage networks...	31
2.4.5. Patrimonialism.....	34
2.4.6. State capture.....	36

2.5.	<i>System</i> as an analytical concept for corruption clusters in hybrid regimes.....	37
2.5.1.	Defining the system of corruption	38
2.5.2.	Typology of the system of corruption	40
3.	Combining constructivist and empirical-analytical perspectives on corruption.....	45
3.1.	Constructivist perspective	46
3.1.1.	Corruption as an empty signifier	46
3.1.2.	From articulation to construction of social identities and institutions.....	49
3.1.3.	Framing corruption as a tool in political tactics	52
3.1.4.	The role of corruption and anti-corruption in hegemonic struggle.....	53
3.2.	Theoretical explanatory approaches to corruption: Agency vs. institutions.....	54
3.2.1.	Agency-centered micro-perspective	54
3.2.2.	Institution-centered macro-perspective	58
3.3.	Synthesis: Variety of corruption meanings as framing options.....	62
4.	Conceptualizing hybrid regimes and the role of corruption in them.....	65
4.1.	Hybrid regime concepts at a glance	65
4.2.	Characteristics of hybrid regimes.....	68
4.2.1.	Distinguishing hybrid regimes from democracy and authoritarianism	69
4.2.2.	Uneven playing field.....	71
4.2.3.	Power asymmetries in semi-presidentialism.....	72
4.2.4.	The interplay of formal and informal institutions in hybrid regimes	74
4.3.	Dynamic of hybrid regimes and the role of corruption....	79
4.3.1.	Scenarios of elite interaction and corresponding type of corruption systems.....	79

4.3.2.	Operationalizing regime dynamics.....	82
4.4.	Operationalizing actors' action: political strategy and tactics in hybrid regimes	84
4.4.1.	The interplay of structural context and individual actors' action	84
4.4.2.	Defining strategy and tactics	86
4.4.3.	Actors' strategic calculations in hybrid regimes: Goals, resources, environment	88
5.	The system of corruption in Ukraine and its role in sustaining regime hybridity	93
5.1.	The role of the oligarchs.....	93
5.2.	A system of corruption model	94
5.2.1.	Political parties	96
5.2.2.	Elections.....	101
5.2.3.	Political influence	105
5.2.4.	Political outcome side.....	108
5.3.	Synthesis: An uneven playing field as a result of corruption practices.....	110
6.	Case study of the political domination of Leonid Kuchma: Functions and implications of the centralized system of corruption	115
6.1.	Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	116
6.1.1.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition	117
6.1.2.	Points of reference for strategic interaction	121
6.1.3.	Synthesis	126
6.2.	Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination ...	126
6.2.1.	Neo-patrimonial decision-making between 1994 and 1998.....	128
6.2.2.	Change of the political domination strategy: From exclusion to co-optation	129

6.2.3.	Patronage.....	132
6.2.4.	Clientelism.....	141
6.2.5.	Non-coercive control: Corruption-based <i>kompromat</i> and blackmail.....	146
6.2.6.	Synthesis	151
6.3.	Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination... 153	
6.3.1.	Antagonism of strong principal and “invisible enemy”	153
6.3.2.	Framing of corruption as a principal-agent problem.....	155
6.3.3.	Suggested remedies.....	157
6.3.4.	Crisis and change: Framing shift toward perpetual corruption in society	160
6.3.5.	Popular attitudes	162
6.3.6.	Synthesis	164
6.4.	Assessment of the anti-corruption policies	165
6.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption in early 1990s.....	166
6.4.2.	Political domination by means of anti- corruption institutions	169
6.4.3.	Conceptualization of corruption in legislation ...	172
6.4.4.	Synthesis	178
6.5.	Conclusion	180
7.	Case study of the political domination of Viktor Yushchenko: Functions and implications of the decentralized system of corruption	185
7.1.	Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	186
7.1.1.	Renewal of the elite	190
7.1.2.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition	194
7.1.3.	Synthesis	199

7.2. Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination....	199
7.2.1. Shifting towards a gray zone of governance	200
7.2.2. Favoritism under Yushchenko: Bargaining in patron-client networks.....	209
7.2.3. Synthesis	212
7.3. Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination...	213
7.3.1. Antagonism of democracy and authoritarianism	214
7.3.2. Framing of corruption as a system.....	216
7.3.3. Crisis and change: Framing shift toward the concept of “political corruption”	218
7.3.4. Suggested remedies.....	222
7.3.5. Popular attitudes	223
7.3.6. Synthesis	226
7.4. Assessment of the anti-corruption policies	227
7.4.1. Constellation of actors and control of corruption..	227
7.4.2. Conceptualization of corruption in legislation..	232
7.4.3. Synthesis	240
7.5. Conclusion	241
8. Case study of the political domination of Viktor Yanukovych: Functions and implications of the monopolized system of corruption	247
8.1. Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	248
8.1.1. Subordination of state institutions.....	248
8.1.2. Synthesis	251
8.2. Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination....	253
8.2.1. Particularism under Yanukovych	253
8.2.2. Monetary corruption: An instrument of exclusion and monopolization of power.....	256
8.2.3. Raising the “Family”	262
8.2.4. Synthesis	271

8.3.	Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination...	272
8.3.1.	Antagonism of chaos and order	273
8.3.2.	Functions of the empty meaning of “corruption” .	274
8.3.3.	Framing corruption as a principal-agent problem.....	278
8.3.4.	Suggested remedies.....	279
8.3.5.	Popular attitudes	282
8.3.6.	Synthesis	283
8.4.	Assessment of the anti-corruption policies	284
8.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption..	285
8.4.2.	Conceptualization of corruption in the legislation	292
8.4.3.	Synthesis	298
8.5.	Conclusion	299
9.	Conclusion	303
9.1.	Synopsis	303
9.2.	Comparative analysis.....	305
9.2.1.	Assessment of the strategies	305
9.2.2.	Comparison of political tactics	307
9.3.	Key findings and implications for counteracting corruption	317
9.3.	Prospects for further research	320
References	325
	Secondary sources	325
	Primary sources for the framing analysis.....	351
	International Organizations: Documents, reports, assessments.....	355
Annex 1:	Overview of the expert interview partners	357
Annex 2:	List of online media for the search inquiry	358
Annex 3:	MaxQDA code book.....	360
Annex 4:	Anti-corruption legislation	364

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2014	2
Figure 2:	From worldview to the concept.....	15
Figure 3:	Dimensions of corruption.....	23
Figure 4:	Corruption as the connecting core.....	25
Figure 5:	The theory of crisis and change	49
Figure 6:	Pure types versus fuzzy types of regimes	67
Figure 7:	Applying Coleman’s bathtub to the research of corruption	85
Figure 8:	Strategy circle	86
Figure 9:	External points of reference for strategic interaction	90
Figure 10:	Perpetual cycle of corruption in Ukraine’s political process.....	95
Figure 11:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2004	127
Figure 12:	Number of appointments by presidential decree in Russia and Ukraine	134
Figure 13:	Perception of state authorities: comparative assessment.....	163
Figure 14:	Survey of support for Leonid Kuchma, 2000–2004	164
Figure 15:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2005–2010	188
Figure 16:	The governmental system of Ukraine	202
Figure 17:	Survey on the perceptions of the obedience to the law of public servants 2006–2008	224
Figure 18:	Survey of support for Viktor Yushchenko, 2005–2009	225

Figure 19:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2010–2014	252
Figure 20:	Forbes ranking of tender champions 2011–2013....	262
Figure 21:	Oleksandr Yanukovich assets	264
Figure 22:	The chronology of the Ukrainian Bank of Development’s capital increase.....	266
Figure 23:	Survey about support for Viktor Yanukovich, 2010–2013	282
Figure 24:	Foreign funding-based development projects in Ukraine, 1994–2016	286

List of Tables

Table 1:	Governance context of corruption practices	21
Table 2:	Variety of corruption meanings as framing options	64
Table 3:	Scenarios of elite interaction.....	80
Table 4:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of L. Kuchma	115
Table 5:	Power relationships between Kuchma and his prime ministers	131
Table 6:	State institutions created between July 1994 and June 1996	143
Table 7:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of V. Yushchenko	186
Table 8:	Political affiliation of oligarchs in Parliament.....	196
Table 9:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of V. Yanukovich.....	248
Table 10:	Scenarios at the end of the presidency	307
Table 11:	Comparative summary of the empirical case studies.....	313