Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS) ISSN 1614-3515

General Editor: Andreas Umland,

Institute for Euro-Atlantic Cooperation, Kyiv, umland@stanfordalumni.org

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE*

DOMESTIC & COMPARATIVE POLITICS

Prof. Ellen Bos, Andrássy University of Budapest

Dr. **Ingmar Bredies**, FH Bund, Brühl

Dr. Andrey Kazantsev, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Heiko Pleines, University of Bremen

Prof. Richard Sakwa, University of Kent at Canterbury

Dr. Sarah Whitmore, Oxford Brookes University

Dr. Harald Wydra, University of Cambridge

SOCIETY, CLASS & ETHNICITY

Col. David Glantz, "Journal of Slavic Military Studies"

Dr. Marlène Laruelle, George Washington University Dr. Stephen Shulman, Southern Illinois University

Prof. Stefan Troebst, University of Leipzig

POLITICAL ECONOMY & PUBLIC POLICY

Prof. em. Marshall Goldman, Wellesley College, Mass.

Dr. Andreas Goldthau, Central European University

Dr. Robert Kravchuk, University of North Carolina

Dr. David Lane, University of Cambridge

Dr. Carol Leonard, Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Dr. Maria Popova, McGill University, Montreal

ADVISORY BOARD*

Prof. Dominique Arel, University of Ottawa

Prof. Jörg Baberowski, Humboldt University of Berlin

Prof. Margarita Balmaceda, Seton Hall University

Dr. John Barber, University of Cambridge

Prof. Timm Beichelt, European University Viadrina

Dr. Katrin Boeckh, University of Munich

Prof. em. Archie Brown, University of Oxford

Dr. Vyacheslav Bryukhovetsky, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy

Prof. Timothy Colton, Harvard University, Cambridge

Prof. Paul D'Anieri, University of Florida

Dr. Heike Dörrenbächer, Friedrich Naumann Foundation

Dr. John Dunlop, Hoover Institution, Stanford, California

Dr. Sabine Fischer, SWP, Berlin

Dr. Geir Flikke, NUPI, Oslo

Prof. David Galbreath, University of Aberdeen

Prof. Alexander Galkin, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Frank Golczewski, University of Hamburg

Dr. Nikolas Gvosdev, Naval War College, Newport, RI

Prof. Mark von Hagen, Arizona State University

Dr. Guido Hausmann, University of Munich

Prof. Dale Herspring, Kansas State University

Dr. Stefani Hoffman, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Prof. Mikhail Ilyin, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Vladimir Kantor, Higher School of Economics

Dr. Ivan Katchanovski, University of Ottawa

Prof. em. Andrzej Korbonski, University of California

Dr. Iris Kempe, "Caucasus Analytical Digest"

Prof. Herbert Küpper, Institut für Ostrecht Regensburg

D. D. i. I. I. GEEER R. I.

Dr. Rainer Lindner, CEEER, Berlin

Dr. Vladimir Malakhov, Russian Academy of Sciences

Commissioning Editor: Max Jakob Horstmann, London, mjh@ibidem.eu

FOREIGN POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Dr. Peter Duncan, University College London

Prof. Andreas Heinemann-Grüder, University of Bonn

Dr. Taras Kuzio, Johns Hopkins University

Prof. Gerhard Mangott, University of Innsbruck

Dr. Diana Schmidt-Pfister, University of Konstanz

Dr. Lisbeth Tarlow, Harvard University, Cambridge

Dr. Christian Wipperfürth, N-Ost Network, Berlin

Dr. William Zimmerman, University of Michigan

HISTORY, CULTURE & THOUGHT

Dr. Catherine Andreyev, University of Oxford

Prof. Mark Bassin, Södertörn University Prof. Karsten Brüggemann, Tallinn University

Dr. Alexander Etkind, University of Cambridge

Dr. Gasan Gusejnov, Moscow State University

Prof. em. Walter Laqueur, Georgetown University

Prof. Leonid Luks. Catholic University of Eichstaett

Dr. Olga Malinova, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Andrei Rogatchevski, University of Tromsø

Dr. Mark Tauger, West Virginia University

Dr. Luke March, University of Edinburgh

Prof. Michael McFaul, Stanford University, Palo Alto Prof. Birgit Menzel, University of Mainz-Germersheim

Prof. Valery Mikhailenko, The Urals State University

Prof. Emil Pain, Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Dr. Oleg Podvintsev, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. **Olga Popova**, St. Petersburg State University Dr. **Alex Pravda**, University of Oxford

Dr. Erik van Ree, University of Amsterdam

Dr. Joachim Rogall, Robert Bosch Foundation Stuttgart

Prof. Peter Rutland, Wesleyan University, Middletown

Prof. Marat Salikov, The Urals State Law Academy

Dr. Gwendolyn Sasse, University of Oxford

Prof. Jutta Scherrer, EHESS, Paris

Prof. Robert Service, University of Oxford

Mr. James Sherr, RIIA Chatham House London

Dr. Oxana Shevel, Tufts University, Medford

Prof. Eberhard Schneider, University of Siegen

Prof. Olexander Shnyrkov, Shevchenko University, Kyiv

Prof. Hans-Henning Schröder, SWP, Berlin

Prof. Yuri Shapoval, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Viktor Shnirelman, Russian Academy of Sciences

Dr. Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia Dr. Philip Walters, "Religion, State and Society", Oxford

Prof. Zenon Wasyliw, Ithaca College, New York State

Dr. Lucan Way, University of Toronto

Dr. Markus Wehner, "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"

Dr. Andrew Wilson, University College London

Prof. Jan Zielonka, University of Oxford

Prof. Andrei Zorin, University of Oxford

* While the Editorial Committee and Advisory Board support the General Editor in the choice and improvement of manuscripts for publication, responsibility for remaining errors and misinterpretations in the series' volumes lies with the books' authors.

Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS) ISSN 1614-3515

Founded in 2004 and refereed since 2007, SPPS makes available affordable English-, German-, and Russian-language studies on the history of the countries of the former Soviet bloc from the late Tsarist period to today. It publishes between 5 and 20 volumes per year and focuses on issues in transitions to and from democracy such as economic crisis, identity formation, civil society development, and constitutional reform in CEE and the NIS. SPPS also aims to highlight so far understudied themes in East European studies such as right-wing radicalism, religious life, higher education, or human rights protection. The authors and titles of all previously published volumes are listed at the end of this book. For a full description of the series and reviews of its books, see

www.ibidem-verlag.de/red/spps.

Editorial correspondence & manuscripts should be sent to: Dr. Andreas Umland, Institute for Euro-Atlantic Cooperation, vul. Volodymyrska 42, off. 21, UA-01030 Kyiv, Ukraine

Business correspondence & review copy requests should be sent to: *ibidem* Press, Leuschnerstr. 40, 30457 Hannover, Germany; tel.: +49 511 2622200; fax: +49 511 2622201; spps@ibidem.eu.

Authors, reviewers, referees, and editors for (as well as all other persons sympathetic to) SPPS are invited to join its networks at www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=52638198614 www.linkedin.com/groups?about=&gid=103012 www.xing.com/net/spps-ibidem-verlag/

Recent Volumes

- 187 Marina Lebedeva Russian Studies of International Relations From the Soviet Past to the Post-Cold-War Present With a foreword by Andrei P. Tsygankov ISBN 978-3-8382-0851-0
- 188 Tomasz Stępniewski, George Soroka (eds.) Ukraine after Maidan Revisiting Domestic and Regional Security ISBN 978-3-8382-1075-9
- 189 Petar Cholakov
 Ethnic Entrepreneurs Unmasked
 Political Institutions and Ethnic Conflicts in
 Contemporary Bulgaria
 ISBN 978-3-8382-1189-3
- 190 A. Salem, G. Hazeldine, D. Morgan (eds.) Higher Education in Post-Communist States Comparative and Sociological Perspectives ISBN 978-3-8382-1183-1
- 191 Igor Torbakov After Empire Nationalist Imagination and Symbolic Politics in Russia and Eurasia in the Twentieth and Twenty-First Century With a foreword by Serhii Plokhy ISBN 978-3-8382-1217-3
- 192 Aleksandr Burakovskiy Jewish-Ukrainian Relations in Late and Post-Soviet Ukraine Articles, Lectures and Essays from 1986 to 2016 ISBN 978-3-8382-1210-4
- 193 Olga Burlyuk, Natalia Shapovalova (eds.) Civil Society in Post-Euromaidan Ukraine From Revolution to Consolidation With a foreword by Richard Youngs ISBN 978-3-8382-1216-6
- 194 Franz Preissler
 Positionsverteidigung, Imperialismus
 oder Irredentismus?
 Russland und die "Russischsprachigen", 1991–2015
 ISBN 978-3-8382-1262-3
- 196 Anke Giesen "Wie kann denn der Sieger ein Verbrecher sein?" Eine diskursanalytische Untersuchung der russlandweiten Debatte über Konzept und Verstaatlichungsprozess der Lagergedenkstätte "Perm'-36" im Ural ISBN 978-3-8382-1284-5

Tomasz Stępniewski, George Soroka (eds.)

UKRAINE AFTER MAIDAN

Revisiting Domestic and Regional Security

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

 ∞

Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem, säurefreien Papier Printed on acid-free paper

ISSN: 1614-3515

ISBN-13: 978-3-8382-1075-9

© *ibidem*-Verlag Stuttgart 2018

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und elektronische Speicherformen sowie die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

All rights part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronical, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

Printed in the FU

Contents

Tomasz Stępniewski and George Soroka
Introduction: Ukraine in Fragile Security Contexts
Yuval Weber
The Juiciest Fruit Left on the Vine:
Ukraine as a Bargaining Failure13
Tomasz Stępniewski
The Ukraine Crisis, NATO, and Eastern Europe's
Grey Zone of Security45
Jussi Laine
The Ukraine Crisis and Ontological (In)Security:
Implications from a Finnish Perspective
George Soroka
Assessing Domestic Security Challenges in
Post-Maidan Ukraine: Two Critical Dimensions
Yuriy Matsiyevsky
Western Leverage, Russia's Resistance and the Breakdown
of the Yanukovych Regime135
Joanna Fomina
On Ukraine's Geopolitical Identity:
Public Opinion Dynamics on NATO Accession in
the Aftermath of the War with Russia167

Andrzej Szabaciuk	
Demography and Migration as Determinants of	
Ukrainian Policy in the Context of State Security1	91
Notes on Contributors	211

Introduction: Ukraine in Fragile Security Contexts

For nearly three decades now, we have been witnessing the gradual demise of the bi-polar international order first established in a world dominated by the Cold War. This outcome was inevitable; after the collapse of the Soviet Union the global environment, along with its attendant geopolitical realities, changed markedly. But the slow-motion disintegration of this security system has ushered in the advent of a still-inchoate replacement, the parameters and meaning of which continue to be vigorously contested. Consequently, it is proving difficult to characterize the new system that is emerging, one wherein ascendant powers that do not share the values of the West are attempting to construct a multi-polar and ideologically diverse world. Illustrative of this are Russia's efforts to sway Ukraine back into its orbit. Proceeding through political and military means as well as various "hybrid" tactics, they exemplify what Poland's former Foreign Minister, Adam Rotfield, has labelled a "new game without rules."1

Indeed, the conflict in – and over – Ukraine dramatically illustrates the extent to which the post-Cold War international order, along with regional- and state-level dynamics in the post-communist space, have evolved since the 1990s. The essential nature of this change, as well as its practical implications, requires careful study and analysis. To this end the Ukrainian crisis provides a useful lens into wider processes, as it is not merely a domestic issue but also an international crisis, bracketed by the rivalry between two external powers – the so-called "Western world," as represented by the US and EU (though these entities do not agree with one another in many important respects) and a Russian Federation eager to restore and defend a privileged sphere of influence in what Russian officials refer to as its "near abroad." As a result, while the internal impact of events such as the annexation of Crimea by Russia in March 2014 and the outbreak of separatist violence in the Donbas region soon thereafter has been profound for Ukraine, the external

ramifications have been no less significant. Broadly speaking, the unwillingness of Russia, the US and the UK to uphold the assurances of Ukraine's territorial integrity that were agreed to in the 1994 Budapest Memorandum (in exchange for Kyiv relinquishing its nuclear arsenal and signing on to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons) has devalued international security cooperation and thwarted efforts to prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction. Concomitantly, the imposition of sanctions resulting from Moscow's actions in Ukraine has also had a negative impact on Russia's economy, postponing its integration with Europe and hindering the implementation of the Wider Europe concept, which seeks the development of a free trade and security zone spanning from Lisbon to Vladivostok.²

As exemplified by its involvement in the Ukrainian conflict, the Russian Federation's policies over the course of the past few years suggest that Moscow is attempting to develop a security paradigm based on being able to project military power while simultaneously limiting its dependence on the international system as it is presently configured, which the Kremlin perceives as being hypocritical (e.g., insisting others adopt liberal democratic values while countries like the US pursue a realpolitik foreign policy, violating state sovereignty with pre-emptive military interventions, and so forth) and fundamentally antagonistic towards its interests. This has forced international and supranational organizations such as NATO and the EU to alter how they understand and approach international security, both in regard to Ukraine and the world more generally. The geopolitical tensions that have arisen from this development have had far-reaching consequences. Attesting to this is the ongoing war in Syria, which, in pitting Russian interests against those of Western states, has highlighted the global relevance of instability in Europe's immediate neighborhood. Moreover, the consequences of such distant conflicts have proven capable of turning back on Europe, with issues like the EU's migrant fiasco and the continuing threat of Islamist terrorism on European soil only emphasizing this inherent interconnectedness.

What has happened in Ukraine also calls into question the EU's eastern policy as it currently stands, as well as Russia's emerging approach towards the post-Soviet space. Effectively, the country has become an arena of contention for two rival integration projects: that of Europe, represented by the EU and normatively envisaged as liberal-democratic politically and market-driven economically, and that of Eurasia, conceived of as an alternative, Russia-led union. But this geopolitical rivalry, today frequently cast in civilizational terms, is not confined to Ukraine; the whole of Eastern Europe is in some fashion or another embroiled in it. On the one hand, this is evident in EU projects such as the European Neighborhood Policy and the Eastern Partnership, although both initiatives have so far failed to meaningfully bolster relations between the Union and its Eastern partners. On the other hand, it is also apparent in the steps the Russian Federation has taken to undermine the independence of the erstwhile Soviet states, regarding their sovereignty as permeable and subject to Moscow's influence. As a result of these actions, systemic insecurity and regional instability have increased, developments reflected in the "frozen conflicts" that currently exist in such places as Transnistria, Abkhazia, and South Ossetia.

Unsurprisingly, in post-Maidan Ukraine Russia's policy has followed a similar script, with the Kremlin backing separatist militias in the east of the country (officially, Russia is not involved in the Ukrainian conflict, though it openly supports the breakaway regions of Luhansk and Donetsk). However, while Russia managed to successfully annex Crimea, sporadic fighting continues in the Donbas and Ukrainian public opinion has notably soured on its larger and increasingly assertive neighbor, with a December 2017 survey by the Kyiv International Institute of Sociology not only finding that the steep decline in the number of Ukrainians who exhibit a positive attitude towards Russia initially evinced in early 2014 continues to hold, but also that a plurality now support their country joining both the EU (49% for, 28% against) and NATO (41% for, 32% against).3 This represents the geopolitical byproduct of a Ukrainian socio-political identity that appears to be coalescing around a civic conception of nationhood.4 Meanwhile, bilateral and

multi-lateral relations between the Western states and Russia, catalyzed by events in Ukraine, have reached a post-Soviet nadir.

Obviously, there is much to scrutinize in this context. However, the effects of the Maidan protests have previously been analyzed mainly in terms of Ukraine's domestic politics or relative to various dyadic relationships (e.g., Ukraine/EU, Ukraine/Russia, or even Russia/EU, with Ukraine serving as a proxy). But although these are undoubtedly important categories of inquiry (and ones that our contributing authors evaluate as well), what has not taken place thus far is any sort of sustained and meaningful analysis of how the Maidan has reverberated politically through the post-communist region, and what this means for observers in Europe and throughout the world. Consequently, the goals of this book are to provide insight into the impact the crisis is having on Ukraine's internal security and the global resonances that proceed from it, as well as to shed light on how it affects proximate countries. In this respect, our project possesses an important temporal advantage, having come together at a time when we are far enough removed from the events of late 2013 and early 2014 to have achieved some measure of objectivity in analyzing them, but yet close enough that their meaning and salience has not been eroded from the minds of participants and observers. In other words, we are still at the stage of assessing them as political scientists and international relations specialists rather than as historians.

Contributors to this volume purposely span an array of empirical and methodological approaches. Yuval Weber analyzes the ambiguous end of the Cold War and the resultant mismatch between Russia's ambitions and material capabilities, using the lens of the Ukrainian crisis to examine larger cross-sectional and longitudinal processes. Tomasz Stępniewski considers how events in Ukraine have altered the role of NATO in Eastern Europe and its relationship to what he terms the region's "grey zone" of security. Jussi Laine looks at the ontological security dilemma the Ukrainian crisis has produced in Finland and evaluates how Finnish leaders and publics have responded to it. George Soroka focuses on two critical dimensions of Ukraine's internal security, namely issues of

nation-building and stateness and the degree and quality of governance, privileging their evaluation in terms of domestic dynamics but also examining how these factors relate to transnational and cross-border processes. Yuriy Matsiyevsky examines the role of external leverage over Ukraine not just from the side of the Western powers, but also from that of the Russian Federation, assessing the impact of Russian backing for the Yanukovych regime and the role played by Moscow in its subsequent breakdown. Joanna Fomina discusses the dynamics of public opinion formation in Ukraine relative to NATO accession, as well as what citizens in NATO member states think of Ukraine's potential inclusion in the defense alliance. Finally, Andrzej Szabaciuk writes about demographic factors and migration relative to domestic security and the evolving challenges Ukraine faces in this sphere.

This book is the result of an active collaboration between researchers from Finland, Poland, Russia, Ukraine and the United States. The editors would like to extend their special thanks to the contributors for the considerable time and effort they put into writing and revising these chapters. We also wish to express our gratitude to the editors and staff at ibidem-Verlag for their support (and forbearance, given how long this project took to complete!). Clearly, much more research is needed into Ukraine's security dynamics and their local, regional and global implications, particularly as the situation on the ground remains unresolved and fluid. As such, this book represents a starting point regarding what may be said about this topic. We therefore hope that readers will appreciate the authors' perspectives and come away feeling that the volume makes a positive contribution to the unfolding discussion concerning the future of Ukraine and the post-communist region, as well as the international order more generally.

> Tomasz Stępniewski & George Soroka Lublin (Poland) and Cambridge (USA), August 2018