

# Table of Contents

Abstract.....	v
List of Figures.....	xiii
List of Tables.....	xv
List of Abbreviations.....	xvii
Acknowledgments.....	xix
Foreword by <i>Tobias Debiel and Andrea Gawrich</i> .....	xxiii
<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. The research puzzle.....	3
1.2. The research question.....	4
1.3. The central argument.....	5
1.4. Methods of data collection and analysis.....	6
1.5. The structure of this study.....	11
<b>2. Conceptualizing corruption: Definitions, typologies and explanatory approaches.....</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1. From worldview to the concept.....	15
2.2. Core characteristics of corruption.....	17
2.3. Varieties of corruption.....	19
2.3.1. Distinguishing context: Corruption as an exception vs. corruption as the norm.....	20
2.3.2. Distinguishing relevant forms of corruption.....	22
2.4. Corruption as an umbrella concept.....	24
2.4.1. Particularism and conflict of interest.....	25
2.4.2. Clientelism.....	28
2.4.3. Patronage.....	29
2.4.4. Control in clientelistic and patronage networks...	31
2.4.5. Patrimonialism.....	34
2.4.6. State capture.....	36

2.5.	<i>System</i> as an analytical concept for corruption clusters in hybrid regimes.....	37
2.5.1.	Defining the system of corruption .....	38
2.5.2.	Typology of the system of corruption .....	40
<b>3.</b>	<b>Combining constructivist and empirical-analytical perspectives on corruption.....</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1.	Constructivist perspective .....	46
3.1.1.	Corruption as an empty signifier .....	46
3.1.2.	From articulation to construction of social identities and institutions.....	49
3.1.3.	Framing corruption as a tool in political tactics ....	52
3.1.4.	The role of corruption and anti-corruption in hegemonic struggle.....	53
3.2.	Theoretical explanatory approaches to corruption: Agency vs. institutions.....	54
3.2.1.	Agency-centered micro-perspective .....	54
3.2.2.	Institution-centered macro-perspective .....	58
3.3.	Synthesis: Variety of corruption meanings as framing options.....	62
<b>4.</b>	<b>Conceptualizing hybrid regimes and the role of corruption in them.....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.1.	Hybrid regime concepts at a glance .....	65
4.2.	Characteristics of hybrid regimes.....	68
4.2.1.	Distinguishing hybrid regimes from democracy and authoritarianism .....	69
4.2.2.	Uneven playing field.....	71
4.2.3.	Power asymmetries in semi-presidentialism.....	72
4.2.4.	The interplay of formal and informal institutions in hybrid regimes .....	74
4.3.	Dynamic of hybrid regimes and the role of corruption....	79
4.3.1.	Scenarios of elite interaction and corresponding type of corruption systems.....	79

4.3.2.	Operationalizing regime dynamics.....	82
4.4.	Operationalizing actors' action: political strategy and tactics in hybrid regimes .....	84
4.4.1.	The interplay of structural context and individual actors' action .....	84
4.4.2.	Defining strategy and tactics .....	86
4.4.3.	Actors' strategic calculations in hybrid regimes: Goals, resources, environment .....	88
<b>5.</b>	<b>The system of corruption in Ukraine and its role in sustaining regime hybridity .....</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1.	The role of the oligarchs.....	93
5.2.	A system of corruption model .....	94
5.2.1.	Political parties .....	96
5.2.2.	Elections.....	101
5.2.3.	Political influence .....	105
5.2.4.	Political outcome side.....	108
5.3.	Synthesis: An uneven playing field as a result of corruption practices.....	110
<b>6.</b>	<b>Case study of the political domination of Leonid Kuchma: Functions and implications of the centralized system of corruption .....</b>	<b>115</b>
6.1.	Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	116
6.1.1.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition .....	117
6.1.2.	Points of reference for strategic interaction .....	121
6.1.3.	Synthesis .....	126
6.2.	Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination....	126
6.2.1.	Neo-patrimonial decision-making between 1994 and 1998.....	128
6.2.2.	Change of the political domination strategy: From exclusion to co-optation .....	129

6.2.3.	Patronage.....	132
6.2.4.	Clientelism.....	141
6.2.5.	Non-coercive control: Corruption-based <i>kompromat</i> and blackmail.....	146
6.2.6.	Synthesis .....	151
6.3.	Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination... 153	
6.3.1.	Antagonism of strong principal and “invisible enemy” .....	153
6.3.2.	Framing of corruption as a principal-agent problem.....	155
6.3.3.	Suggested remedies.....	157
6.3.4.	Crisis and change: Framing shift toward perpetual corruption in society .....	160
6.3.5.	Popular attitudes .....	162
6.3.6.	Synthesis .....	164
6.4.	Assessment of the anti-corruption policies .....	165
6.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption in early 1990s.....	166
6.4.2.	Political domination by means of anti- corruption institutions .....	169
6.4.3.	Conceptualization of corruption in legislation ...	172
6.4.4.	Synthesis .....	178
6.5.	Conclusion .....	180
<b>7.</b>	<b>Case study of the political domination of Viktor Yushchenko: Functions and implications of the decentralized system of corruption .....</b>	<b>185</b>
7.1.	Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	186
7.1.1.	Renewal of the elite .....	190
7.1.2.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition .....	194
7.1.3.	Synthesis .....	199

7.2. Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination....	199
7.2.1. Shifting towards a gray zone of governance .....	200
7.2.2. Favoritism under Yushchenko: Bargaining in patron-client networks.....	209
7.2.3. Synthesis .....	212
7.3. Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination...	213
7.3.1. Antagonism of democracy and authoritarianism	214
7.3.2. Framing of corruption as a system.....	216
7.3.3. Crisis and change: Framing shift toward the concept of “political corruption” .....	218
7.3.4. Suggested remedies.....	222
7.3.5. Popular attitudes .....	223
7.3.6. Synthesis .....	226
7.4. Assessment of the anti-corruption policies .....	227
7.4.1. Constellation of actors and control of corruption..	227
7.4.2. Conceptualization of corruption in legislation..	232
7.4.3. Synthesis .....	240
7.5. Conclusion .....	241
<b>8. Case study of the political domination of Viktor Yanukovych: Functions and implications of the monopolized system of corruption .....</b>	<b>247</b>
8.1. Outset: Regime trajectory, power resources and constellation of actors.....	248
8.1.1. Subordination of state institutions.....	248
8.1.2. Synthesis .....	251
8.2. Corrupt practices as tactics for political domination....	253
8.2.1. Particularism under Yanukovych .....	253
8.2.2. Monetary corruption: An instrument of exclusion and monopolization of power.....	256
8.2.3. Raising the “Family” .....	262
8.2.4. Synthesis .....	271

8.3.	Corruption framing as a tactic for political domination...	272
8.3.1.	Antagonism of chaos and order .....	273
8.3.2.	Functions of the empty meaning of “corruption” .	274
8.3.3.	Framing corruption as a principal-agent problem.....	278
8.3.4.	Suggested remedies.....	279
8.3.5.	Popular attitudes .....	282
8.3.6.	Synthesis .....	283
8.4.	Assessment of the anti-corruption policies .....	284
8.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption..	285
8.4.2.	Conceptualization of corruption in the legislation .....	292
8.4.3.	Synthesis .....	298
8.5.	Conclusion .....	299
<b>9.</b>	<b>Conclusion .....</b>	<b>303</b>
9.1.	Synopsis .....	303
9.2.	Comparative analysis.....	305
9.2.1.	Assessment of the strategies .....	305
9.2.2.	Comparison of political tactics .....	307
9.3.	Key findings and implications for counteracting corruption .....	317
9.3.	Prospects for further research .....	320
<b>References</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>325</b>
	Secondary sources .....	325
	Primary sources for the framing analysis.....	351
	International Organizations: Documents, reports, assessments.....	355
<b>Annex 1:</b>	<b>Overview of the expert interview partners .....</b>	<b>357</b>
<b>Annex 2:</b>	<b>List of online media for the search inquiry .....</b>	<b>358</b>
<b>Annex 3:</b>	<b>MaxQDA code book.....</b>	<b>360</b>
<b>Annex 4:</b>	<b>Anti-corruption legislation .....</b>	<b>364</b>

## List of Figures

Figure 1:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2014 .....	2
Figure 2:	From worldview to the concept.....	15
Figure 3:	Dimensions of corruption.....	23
Figure 4:	Corruption as the connecting core.....	25
Figure 5:	The theory of crisis and change .....	49
Figure 6:	Pure types versus fuzzy types of regimes .....	67
Figure 7:	Applying Coleman’s bathtub to the research of corruption .....	85
Figure 8:	Strategy circle .....	86
Figure 9:	External points of reference for strategic interaction .....	90
Figure 10:	Perpetual cycle of corruption in Ukraine’s political process.....	95
Figure 11:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2004 .....	127
Figure 12:	Number of appointments by presidential decree in Russia and Ukraine .....	134
Figure 13:	Perception of state authorities: comparative assessment.....	163
Figure 14:	Survey of support for Leonid Kuchma, 2000–2004 .....	164
Figure 15:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2005–2010 .....	188
Figure 16:	The governmental system of Ukraine .....	202
Figure 17:	Survey on the perceptions of the obedience to the law of public servants 2006–2008 .....	224
Figure 18:	Survey of support for Viktor Yushchenko, 2005–2009 .....	225

Figure 19:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2010–2014 .....	252
Figure 20:	Forbes ranking of tender champions 2011–2013....	262
Figure 21:	Oleksandr Yanukovich assets .....	264
Figure 22:	The chronology of the Ukrainian Bank of Development’s capital increase.....	266
Figure 23:	Survey about support for Viktor Yanukovich, 2010–2013 .....	282
Figure 24:	Foreign funding-based development projects in Ukraine, 1994–2016 .....	286



## List of Tables

Table 1:	Governance context of corruption practices .....	21
Table 2:	Variety of corruption meanings as framing options .....	64
Table 3:	Scenarios of elite interaction.....	80
Table 4:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of L. Kuchma .....	115
Table 5:	Power relationships between Kuchma and his prime ministers .....	131
Table 6:	State institutions created between July 1994 and June 1996 .....	143
Table 7:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of V. Yushchenko .....	186
Table 8:	Political affiliation of oligarchs in Parliament.....	196
Table 9:	Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of V. Yanukovich.....	248
Table 10:	Scenarios at the end of the presidency .....	307
Table 11:	Comparative summary of the empirical case studies.....	313