Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS) ISSN 1614-3515

General Editor: Andreas Umland. Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, umland@stanfordalumni.org

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE*

DOMESTIC & COMPARATIVE POLITICS

Prof. Ellen Bos, Andrássy University of Budapest

Dr. Gergana Dimova, University of Winchester

Dr. Andrey Kazantsev, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Heiko Pleines, University of Bremen

Prof. Richard Sakwa, University of Kent at Canterbury

Dr. Sarah Whitmore, Oxford Brookes University

Dr. Harald Wydra, University of Cambridge SOCIETY, CLASS & ETHNICITY

Col. David Glantz, "Journal of Slavic Military Studies"

Dr. Marlène Laruelle, George Washington University

Dr. Stephen Shulman, Southern Illinois University

Prof. Stefan Troebst, University of Leipzig

POLITICAL ECONOMY & PUBLIC POLICY

Prof. Andreas Goldthau, University of Erfurt

Dr. Robert Kravchuk, University of North Carolina

Dr. David Lane, University of Cambridge

Dr. Carol Leonard, Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Dr. Maria Popova, McGill University, Montreal

ADVISORY BOARD*

Prof. Dominique Arel, University of Ottawa Prof. Jörg Baberowski, Humboldt University of Berlin Prof. Margarita Balmaceda, Seton Hall University Dr. John Barber, University of Cambridge Prof. Timm Beichelt, European University Viadrina Dr. Katrin Boeckh, University of Munich Prof. em. Archie Brown, University of Oxford Dr. Vyacheslav Bryukhovetsky, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy Prof. Timothy Colton, Harvard University, Cambridge Prof. Paul D'Anieri, University of California, Riverside Dr. Heike Dörrenbächer, Friedrich Naumann Foundation

Dr. John Dunlop, Hoover Institution, Stanford, California

Dr. Sabine Fischer, SWP. Berlin

Dr. Geir Flikke, NUPI, Oslo

Prof. David Galbreath, University of Aberdeen

Prof. Alexander Galkin, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Frank Golczewski, University of Hamburg

Dr. Nikolas Gvosdev, U.S. Naval War College

Prof. Guido Hausmann, University of Regensburg

Prof. **Dale Herspring**, Kansas State University

Dr. Stefani Hoffman, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Prof. Mikhail Ilyin, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Vladimir Kantor, Higher School of Economics

Prof. em. Andrzej Korbonski, University of California

Dr. Iris Kempe, "Caucasus Analytical Digest"

Prof. Herbert Küpper, Institut für Ostrecht Regensburg

Prof. Rainer Lindner, University of Konstanz

Dr. Vladimir Malakhov, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Luke March, University of Edinburgh

Commissioning Editor: Max Jakob Horstmann, London, mjh@ibidem.eu

FOREIGN POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Dr. Peter Duncan, University College London

Prof. Andreas Heinemann-Grüder, University of Bonn

Prof. Gerhard Mangott, University of Innsbruck

Dr. Diana Schmidt-Pfister, University of Konstanz

Dr. Lisbeth Tarlow, Harvard University, Cambridge

Dr. Christian Wipperfürth, N-Ost Network, Berlin

Prof. em. William Zimmerman, University of Michigan

HISTORY, CULTURE & THOUGHT

Dr. Catherine Andreyev, University of Oxford

Prof. Mark Bassin, Södertörn University

Prof. Karsten Brüggemann, Tallinn University

Dr. Alexander Etkind, European University Institute

Dr. Gasan Gusejnov, Moscow State University

Prof. Leonid Luks, Catholic University of Eichstaett Dr. Olga Malinova, Russian Academy of Sciences

Dr. Richard Mole, University College London

Prof. Andrei Rogatchevski, University of Tromsø

Dr. Mark Tauger, West Virginia University

Prof. Michael McFaul, Stanford University, Palo Alto Prof. Birgit Menzel, University of Mainz-Germersheim Prof. Valery Mikhailenko, The Urals State University Prof. Emil Pain, Higher School of Economics, Moscow Dr. Oleg Podvintsev, Russian Academy of Sciences

Dr. Alex Pravda, University of Oxford

Dr. Erik van Ree, University of Amsterdam

Dr. Joachim Rogall, Robert Bosch Foundation Stuttgart

Prof. Peter Rutland, Wesleyan University, Middletown

Prof. Marat Salikov, The Urals State Law Academy

Prof. **Olga Popova**, St. Petersburg State University

Dr. Gwendolyn Sasse, University of Oxford

Prof. Jutta Scherrer, EHESS, Paris

Prof. Robert Service, University of Oxford

Mr. James Sherr, Chatham House, London

Prof. Oxana Shevel, Tufts University, Medford

Prof. Eberhard Schneider, University of Siegen

Prof. Olexander Shnyrkov, Shevchenko University, Kyiv

Prof. Hans-Henning Schröder, SWP, Berlin

Prof. Yuri Shapoval, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Viktor Shnirelman, Russian Academy of Sciences

Dr. Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia

Dr. Philip Walters, "Religion, State and Society", Oxford

Prof. Zenon Wasyliw, Ithaca College, New York State

Prof. Lucan Way, University of Toronto

Dr. Markus Wehner, "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"

Prof. Andrew Wilson, University College London

Prof. Jan Zielonka, University of Oxford

Prof. Andrei Zorin, University of Oxford

* While the Editorial Committee and Advisory Board support the General Editor in the choice and improvement of manuscripts for publication, responsibility for remaining errors and misinterpretations in the series' volumes lies with the books' authors.

Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS)

ISSN 1614-3515

Founded in 2004 and refereed since 2007, SPPS makes available affordable English-, German-, and Russian-language studies on the history of the countries of the former Soviet bloc from the late Tsarist period to today. It publishes between 5 and 20 volumes per year and focuses on issues in transitions to and from democracy such as economic crisis, identity formation, civil society development, and constitutional reform in CEE and the NIS. SPPS also aims to highlight so far understudied themes in East European studies such as right-wing radicalism, religious life, higher education, or human rights protection. The authors and titles of all previously published volumes are listed at the end of this book. For a full description of the series and reviews of its books, see www.ibidem-verlag.de/red/spps.

Editorial correspondence & manuscripts should be sent to: Dr. Andreas Umland, Ukrainian Institute for the Future, 6 Pamvy Beryndy Lane, UA-01015 Kyiv, Ukraine

Business correspondence & review copy requests should be sent to: *ibidem* Press, Leuschnerstr. 40, 30457 Hannover, Germany; tel.: +49 511 2622200; fax: +49 511 2622201; spps@ibidem.eu.

Authors, reviewers, referees, and editors for (as well as all other persons sympathetic to) SPPS are invited to join its networks at www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=52638198614 www.linkedin.com/groups?about=&gid=103012 www.xing.com/net/spps-ibidem-verlag/

Recent Volumes

- 210 Pawel Kowal, Georges Mink, Adam Reichardt, Iwona Reichardt (Eds.)
 Three Revolutions: Mobilization and Change in Contemporary Ukraine II
 An Oral History of the Revolution on Granite, Orange Revolution, and Revolution of Dignity ISBN 978-3-8382-1323-1
- 211 Li Bennich-Björkman; Sergiy Kurbatov (Eds.) When the Future Came The Collapse of the USSR and the Emergence of National Memory in Post-Soviet History Textbooks ISBN 978-3-8382-1335-4
- 212 Olga R. Gulina Migration as a (Geo-)Political Challenge in the Post-Soviet Space Border Regimes, Policy Choices, Visa Agendas With a foreword by Nils Muižnieks ISBN 978-3-8382-1338-5
- 213 Sanna Turoma, Kaarina Aitamurto, Slobodanka Vladiv-Glover (Eds.) Religion, Expression, and Patriotism in Russia Essays on Post-Soviet Society and the State ISBN 978-3-8382-1346-0
- 214 Vasif Huseynov Geopolitical Rivalries in the "Common Neighborhood" Russia's Conflict with the West, Soft Power, and Neoclassical Realism With a foreword by Nicholas Ross Smith ISBN 978-3-8382-1277-7
- 215 Mikhail Suslov Russia's Ideology of Authenticity Varieties of Conservatism in Russian History from the Late 19th Century to the Present With a foreword by Mark Bassin ISBN 978-3-8382-1361-3
- 216 Alexander Etkind, Mikhail Minakov (Eds.) Ideology after Union Political Doctrines, Discourses, and Debates in Post-Soviet Societies ISBN 978-3-8382-1388-0
- 217 Jakob Mischke, Oleksandr Zabirko (Hgg.) Protestbewegungen im langen Schatten des Kreml Aufbruch und Resignation in Russland und der Ukraine ISBN 978-3-8382-0926-5

Oksana Huss

HOW CORRUPTION AND ANTI-CORRUPTION POLICIES SUSTAIN HYBRID REGIMES

Strategies of Political Domination under Ukraine's Presidents in 1994-2014

With a foreword by Tobias Debiel and Andrea Gawrich



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Cover graphic: © Photo 144521207 © Maciej Bledowski - Dreamstime.com

ISBN-13: 978-3-8382-1430-6 © *ibidem*-Verlag, Stuttgart 2020 Alle Rechte vorbehalten

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und elektronische Speicherformen sowie die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronical, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

Printed in the EU

Abstract

This book argues that leaders of hybrid regimes in pursuit of political domination and material gain instrumentalize both hidden forms of corruption and public anti-corruption policies. Corruption is pursued for different purposes including cooperation with strategic partners and exclusion of opponents. Presidents use anti-corruption policies to legitimize and institutionalize political domination. Corrupt practices and anti-corruption policies become two sides of the same coin and are exercised to maintain an uneven political playing field.

This study combines empirical analysis and social constructivism for an investigation into the presidencies of Leonid Kuchma (1994–2005), Viktor Yushchenko (2005–2010) and Viktor Yanukovych (2010–2014). Explorative expert interviews, press surveys, content analysis of presidential speeches, as well as critical assessment of anti-corruption legislation, provide data for comparison and process tracing of the utilization of corruption under three Ukrainian presidents.

Dr. Oksana Huss is a Research Fellow at Bologna University. She did her doctorate at the University of Duisburg-Essen and a post-doc at Leiden University. Huss taught at the Kyiv-Mohyla Academy as well as the Kyiv School of Economics, and consulted for the Council of Europe, the EU, UNESCO and UNODC. She is a co-founder of ICRNetwork.org—the Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Network.

The authors of the foreword:

Dr. Tobias Debiel is Professor of International Relations and Development Policy at the University of Duisburg-Essen

Dr. Andrea Gawrich is Professor of International Integration at Justus Liebig University of Giessen

Table of Contents

Ab	strac	t		v
Lis	t of F	igures		xiii
Lis	t of T	ables.		xv
Lis	t of A	Abbrev	iations	xvii
Ac	know	ledgm	ents	xix
For	rewoi	r d by T	obias Debiel and Andrea Gawrich	xxiii
1.	Intr	oducti	on	1
	1.1.	The re	esearch puzzle	3
	1.2.	The re	esearch question	4
	1.3.	The ce	entral argument	5
	1.4.	Metho	ods of data collection and analysis	6
	1.5.	The st	ructure of this study	11
2.			alizing corruption: Definitions, typologies a	
			worldview to the concept	
	2.2.	Core	characteristics of corruption	17
	2.3.	Variet	ies of corruption	19
		2.3.1.	Distinguishing context: Corruption as an	
			exception vs. corruption as the norm	20
		2.3.2.	0. 0	
	2.4.	Corru	ption as an umbrella concept	24
		2.4.1.	Particularism and conflict of interest	25
		2.4.2.	Clientelism	28
		2.4.3.	Patronage	29
		2.4.4.	Control in clientelistic and patronage network	ks31
		2.4.5.	Patrimonialism	34
		2.4.6.	State capture	36

	2.5.		n as an analytical concept for corruption clusters	
		in hyb	orid regimes	37
		2.5.1.	Defining the system of corruption	38
		2.5.2.	Typology of the system of corruption	40
3.			g constructivist and empirical-analytical	
	per	spectiv	es on corruption	45
	3.1.	Const	ructivist perspective	46
		3.1.1.	Corruption as an empty signifier	46
		3.1.2.	From articulation to construction of social identities and institutions	49
		3.1.3.	Framing corruption as a tool in political tactics	52
		3.1.4.	The role of corruption and anti-corruption in hegemonic struggle	53
	3.2.	Theor	etical explanatory approaches to corruption:	
		Agend	cy vs. institutions	54
		3.2.1.	Agency-centered micro-perspective	54
		3.2.2.	Institution-centered macro-perspective	58
	3.3.	-	esis: Variety of corruption meanings as framing	
4.	Cot	ncentus	alizing hybrid regimes and the role of	
7.		_	n in them	65
		-	d regime concepts at a glance	
	4.2.	Chara	acteristics of hybrid regimes	68
		4.2.1.	Distinguishing hybrid regimes from democracy	
			and authoritarianism	69
		4.2.2.	Uneven playing field	71
		4.2.3.	Power asymmetries in semi-presidentialism	72
		4.2.4.	The interplay of formal and informal institution in hybrid regimes	
	4.3.	Dynaı	mic of hybrid regimes and the role of corruption	
		4.3.1.	. 0	

		4.3.2.	Operationalizing regime dynamics	82
		-	tionalizing actors' action: political strategy ctics in hybrid regimes	84
		4.4.1.	The interplay of structural context and individ actors' action	
		4.4.2.	Defining strategy and tactics	86
		4.4.3.		
			Goals, resources, environment	
5.	The	syster	n of corruption in Ukraine and its role in	
	sust	aining	regime hybridity	93
	5.1.	The ro	le of the oligarchs	93
	5.2.	A syst	em of corruption model	94
		5.2.1.	Political parties	96
		5.2.2.	Elections	. 101
		5.2.3.	Political influence	. 105
		5.2.4.	Political outcome side	. 108
	5.3.	Synthe	esis: An uneven playing field as a result of	
		corrup	otion practices	. 110
6.			of the political domination of Leonid	
			functions and implications of the centralized	.
	-		corruption	. 115
			t: Regime trajectory, power resources and Ilation of actors	116
				. 110
		6.1.1.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition	117
		6.1.2.	Points of reference for strategic interaction	
			Synthesis	
			pt practices as tactics for political domination	
			•	. 120
		6.2.1.	1994 and 1998	.128
		6.2.2	Change of the political domination strategy:	. 120
		·	From exclusion to co-optation	.129

		6.2.3.	Patronage	132
		6.2.4.	Clientelism	141
		6.2.5.	Non-coercive control: Corruption-based	
			kompromat and blackmail	146
		6.2.6.	Synthesis	151
	6.3.	Corru	ption framing as a tactic for political domination.	153
		6.3.1.	Antagonism of strong principal and "invisible enemy"	
		6.3.2.	Framing of corruption as a principal-agent problem	155
		6.3.3.	Suggested remedies	157
		6.3.4.	Crisis and change: Framing shift toward perpetual corruption in society	160
		6.3.5.	Popular attitudes	
		6.3.6.	Synthesis	
	6.4.	Assess	sment of the anti-corruption policies	
		6.4.1.	• •	
		6.4.2.	Political domination by means of anti- corruption institutions	
		6.4.3.	Conceptualization of corruption in legislation.	172
		6.4.4.	Synthesis	178
	6.5.	Concl	usion	180
7.	Yus	hchen	y of the political domination of Viktor ko: Functions and implications of the	40=
			zed system of corruption	185
	7.1.		t: Regime trajectory, power resources and ellation of actors	106
		7.1.1.		190
		7.1.2.	Fragmentation of power: Institutional and political competition	194
		7.1.3.	_	
		1.1.9.	Jy1111C313	199

	7.2.	Corru	pt practices as tactics for political domination	. 199
		7.2.1.	Shifting towards a gray zone of governance	. 200
		7.2.2.	Favoritism under Yushchenko: Bargaining in	
			patron-client networks	. 209
		7.2.3.	Synthesis	. 212
	7.3.	Corru	ption framing as a tactic for political domination	. 213
		7.3.1.	Antagonism of democracy and authoritarianism	214
		7.3.2.	Framing of corruption as a system	. 216
		7.3.3.	Crisis and change: Framing shift toward the	
			concept of "political corruption"	
		7.3.4.	Suggested remedies	
		7.3.5.	Popular attitudes	
		7.3.6.	Synthesis	
	7.4.	Assess	sment of the anti-corruption policies	. 227
		7.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption.	. 227
		7.4.2.	Conceptualization of corruption in legislation.	. 232
		7.4.3.	Synthesis	. 240
	7.5.	Concl	usion	. 241
8.	Cas	e study	y of the political domination of Viktor	
0.		-	ch: Functions and implications of the	
		-	zed system of corruption	. 247
	8.1.	Outse	t: Regime trajectory, power resources and	
		conste	ellation of actors	. 248
		8.1.1.	Subordination of state institutions	. 248
		8.1.2.	Synthesis	. 251
	8.2.	Corru	pt practices as tactics for political domination	. 253
		8.2.1.	Particularism under Yanukovych	. 253
		8.2.2.	Monetary corruption: An instrument of	
			exclusion and monopolization of power	. 256
		8.2.3.	Raising the "Family"	. 262
		8.2.4.	Synthesis	. 271

	8.3.	Corruj	ption framing as a tactic for political domination	. 272
		8.3.1.	Antagonism of chaos and order	. 273
		8.3.2.	Functions of the empty meaning of "corruption"	. 274
		8.3.3.	Framing corruption as a principal-agent	
			problem	. 278
		8.3.4.	Suggested remedies	. 279
		8.3.5.	Popular attitudes	. 282
		8.3.6.	Synthesis	. 283
	8.4.	Assess	sment of the anti-corruption policies	. 284
		8.4.1.	Constellation of actors and control of corruption.	. 285
		8.4.2.	Conceptualization of corruption in the	
			legislation	
		8.4.3.	Synthesis	. 298
	8.5.	Concl	usion	. 299
9.	Cor	clusio	n	.303
			sis	
		, ,	arative analysis	
			Assessment of the strategies	
			Comparison of political tactics	
	9.3.		ndings and implications for counteracting	• • • • •
	,	-	otion	.317
	9.3.	_	ects for further research	
Ref	eren	ces		. 325
	Seco	ondary	sources	. 325
			ources for the framing analysis	
		-	nal Organizations: Documents, reports,	
			ts	.355
		. 0	when all the annual testing the	255
			view of the expert interview partners	
			f online media for the search inquiry	
			DA code book	
Anı	nex 4	: Antı-	corruption legislation	. პი4

List of Figures

Figure 1:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2014	2
Figure 2:	From worldview to the concept	15
Figure 3:	Dimensions of corruption	23
Figure 4:	Corruption as the connecting core	25
Figure 5:	The theory of crisis and change	49
Figure 6:	Pure types versus fuzzy types of regimes	67
Figure 7:	Applying Coleman's bathtub to the research of corruption	85
Figure 8:	Strategy circle	86
Figure 9:	External points of reference for strategic interaction	90
Figure 10:	Perpetual cycle of corruption in Ukraine's political process	95
Figure 11:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 1999–2004	127
Figure 12:	Number of appointments by presidential decree in Russia and Ukraine	
Figure 13:	Perception of state authorities: comparative assessment	163
Figure 14:	Survey of support for Leonid Kuchma, 2000–2004	164
Figure 15:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2005–2010	188
Figure 16:	The governmental system of Ukraine	202
Figure 17:	Survey on the perceptions of the obedience to the law of public servants 2006–2008	224
Figure 18:	Survey of support for Viktor Yushchenko, 2005-2009	225

Figure 19:	Freedom House Nations in Transit democracy score 2010–2014	. 252
Figure 20:	Forbes ranking of tender champions 2011–2013	. 262
Figure 21:	Oleksandr Yanukovych assets	. 264
Figure 22:	The chronology of the Ukrainian Bank of Development's capital increase	. 266
Figure 23:	Survey about support for Viktor Yanukovych, 2010–2013	. 282
Figure 24:	Foreign funding-based development projects in Ukraine, 1994–2016	. 286

List of Tables

Table 1: Governance context of corruption practices			
options	Table 1:	Governance context of corruption practices	21
Table 4: Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of L. Kuchma	Table 2:	, ,	64
of L. Kuchma	Table 3:	Scenarios of elite interaction	80
prime ministers	Table 4:		. 115
June 1996	Table 5:	<u> </u>	. 131
of V. Yushchenko	Table 6:		. 143
Table 9: Regime trajectory and tactics under presidency of V. Yanukovych	Table 7:		. 186
of V. Yanukovych	Table 8:	Political affiliation of oligarchs in Parliament	.196
Table 11: Comparative summary of the empirical case	Table 9:		. 248
1 1	Table 10:	Scenarios at the end of the presidency	.307
	Table 11:	1 , 1	.313

List of Abbreviations

ACN OECD OECD Anti-Corruption Network for Eastern Eu-

rope and Central Asia

AntAC Anti-Corruption Action Centre
AP Administration of the President
BYuT Bloc of Yulia Tymoshenko

CSO Civil Society Organization

DRFC LLC Donbass Rozrakhunkovo Finansovyi Centr

EBRD European Bank for Reconstruction and Develop-

ment

EEAS European External Action Service

EU European Union

GRECO Council of Europe's Group of States against Cor-

ruption

IAHR Institute of Applied Humanitarian Research

IMF International Monetary Found

MP Member of Parliament

NAC National Anti-Corruption Committee NGO Non-Governmental Organization

NiT index Freedom House Nations in Transit Index

NSDC National Security and Defense Council of Ukraine NUNS Nasha Ukraina—Narodna Samooborona ("Our

Ukraine" – People's Self-Defense Bloc)

OECD Organisation for Economic Co-operation and De-

velopment

OIG Office of the Inspector General

PACE Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe

PGO Prosecutor General's Office

PM Prime Minister

PUMB First Ukrainian International Bank

RUE RossUkrEnergo

SBU Sluzhba Bezpeky Ukrainy (Security Service of

Ukraine)

SIDA Swedish International Development Cooperation

Agency

SME Small and medium enterprises
TI Transparency International

UBD Ukrainian Bank of Development

UHHRU Ukrainian Helsinki Human Rights Union

UN United Nations

UNCAC United Nations Convention against Corruption

UNDP United Nations Development Program

USA United States of America

USAID United States Agency for International Develop-

ment

Acknowledgments

I am deeply indebted to many people for their support in completing this dissertation, without which the work would not have been realized. First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Professors Tobias Debiel and Andrea Gawrich, my supervisors, for providing me with guidance, useful critiques and helpful encouragements. I highly appreciate the degree of freedom they gave me to unfold my research ideas and, at the same time, their guidance that allowed me to maintain steady development in my research. Constructive feedback on content and methodology, provided by the participants of the Ph.D. workshop "International relations/Peace and Development studies" was greatly valued. Therefore, I would like to thank the workshop's organizers - Professors Tobias Debiel, Walter Eberlei, Christof Hartmann, Hartwig Hummel, Cornelia Ulbert and the team of the Institute for Development and Peace – for providing a comfortable space for the exchange and discussion of research ideas.

I would like to express my appreciation to the Hanns Seidel Foundation for the generous funding of my research. I am particularly grateful to Prof. Hans-Peter Niedermeier, Dr. Michael Czepalla and Prof. Rudolf Streinz for their support and sage advice in my professional life in academia and beyond. I also wish to acknowledge the generous research funding provided by the Centre for East European and International Studies (ZOiS) which enabled me not only to finalize my thesis but also to greatly profit from the valuable feedback of my Ph.D. fellows during colloquium and conference meetings. I am also grateful to the Petro Jacyck Foundation, which enabled my research stay at the University of Toronto, Centre for European, Russian and Eurasian Studies. In particular, I would like to thank Profs. Lucan Way and Matthew Light for the enriching discussions and valuable ideas exchanged during my research while at CERES. I would also like to extend my thanks to the Research Centre for East European Studies (Forschungsstelle Osteuropa – FSO) at the University of Bremen for hosting me during the data collection period and kindly providing access to their databases. Advice given by Prof. Heiko Pleines during my stay at FSO and after has been a great help in advancing my research.

My personal gratitude goes to Daria Kaleniuk, Dr. Oksana Nesterenko and Prof. Andriy Meleshevych, who involved me in the development and the work of the Anti-Corruption Research and Education Centre in Ukraine. I highly appreciate the possibility of lecturing anti-corruption activists and researchers in Ukraine, which allowed me to test and to improve my research ideas, as well as gain deeper insight into the topics of corruption and anti-corruption in Ukraine through continuous exchange with experts. I would also like to thank all interview partners, who shared their valuable expertise on the topic with me. In particular, I am grateful to Prof. Mykola Khavroniuk for his openness in providing me with highly appreciated legal expertise in the anti-corruption field on several occasions.

My Ph.D. research time became a highly exciting journey thanks to many young scholars, who were keen to develop the community of corruption researchers and dared ambitious joint projects in this field. In particular, the German-speaking KorrWiss network and the international Interdisciplinary Corruption Research Network became my "home in corruption research." Among network founders and participants, respected colleagues like Aiysha Varraich, Anna Schwieckerath, Annika Engelbert, Eduard Klein, Ina Kubbe, Jamie-Lee Campbell, Jessica Flakne, Johann Steudle, Marina Povitkina, Nils Köbis, Sofia Wickberg, Steven Gawthrope became dear friends, who not only shared the same excitement for the research topic, but were always also open to constructive feedback and encouragement in the long research process, full of uncertainties and doubts. My sincerest thanks to you! My deep appreciation goes to the senior member of the ICRNetwork, Prof. Bo Rothstein, who not only provided valuable feedback on my research but also gave good advice and mentoring on academic work in general. Through mutual research and education projects with Oleksandra Keudel and Olena Petrenko I have learned how highly rewarding investing time in and contributing efforts to joint activities can be. Thank you both for being pleasant partners and supportive friends.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and foremost my husband for believing in me, backing me and giving me hope and strength in times of uncertainty. I highly appreciate your patience and support throughout this process.

Foreword

Although it is challenging to research corruption, this book provides an innovative conceptual and empirical contribution to both research areas, corruption and transformation studies alike. The study is based on a Most Similar Systems Design through an intracase comparison of three presidencies: Leonid Kuchma (1994–2005), Viktor Yushchenko (2005–2010) and Viktor Yanukovych (2010–2014). The book investigates the following research questions: Why do presidents in Ukraine use different political strategies and discursive framings to address corruption? How do they utilize corruption as a tactic to maintain political domination in hybrid regimes?

The book applies both positivist and constructivist approaches. The empirical analysis reveals the role of corrupt practices in sustaining political domination. Furthermore, it demonstrates impressively that anti-corruption policies in a hybrid regime like Ukraine can be understood as discursive framing strategies applied by political leaders to ensure and legitimize their political domination.

The book studies how different regime trajectories under authoritarian or semi-democratic rule led to different corruption practices. In a cartel-like deal, Kuchma managed to construct a centralized system of corruption that traditionally framed the problem along a principal-agent approach. Yushchenko, on the other hand, could not centralize elites and attempted to secure power through a decentralized system in which he discursively emphasized European values in the fight against political corruption. However, he was unable to present himself credibly to the population as a politician of a new type. In a way, as Oksana Huss contends, he became the victim of his own discourse. Yanukovych, in turn, succeeded in monopolizing corruption because of his "political machine", the Party of Regions. His political discourse remained deliberately vague and shaped by metaphors, which made it difficult to measure the success of anti-corruption policies.

Despite these differences, the three presidents shared a willingness to adapted their framing strategies to account for the constellation of their political opponents and addressed the expectations of external actors. Furthermore, their administrations were all initially supported by the population—and failed to adjust their strategies appropriately as their popularity ratings declined.

In summary, this book provides an important contribution in a challenging empirical research field. It corresponds to the state of the art and provides an in-depth case study based on an analytically attractive theoretical and methodological framework.

Dr. Tobias Debiel
Professor of International Relations and
Development Policy at the University of Duisburg-Essen

Dr. Andrea Gawrich
Professor of International Integration
at Justus Liebig University of Giessen