Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS) ISSN 1614-3515

General Editor: Andreas Umland, Swedish Institute of International Affairs, <u>umland@stanfordalumni.org</u>

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE*

DOMESTIC & COMPARATIVE POLITICS

Prof. Ellen Bos, Andrássy University of Budapest Dr. Gergana Dimova, University of Winchester Dr. Andrey Kazantsev, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow Prof. Heiko Pleines, University of Bremen Prof. Richard Sakwa, University of Kent at Canterbury Dr. Sarah Whitmore, Oxford Brookes University Dr. Harald Wydra, University of Cambridge SOCIETY, CLASS & ETHNICITY Col. David Glantz, "Journal of Slavic Military Studies" Dr. Marlène Laruelle, George Washington University Dr. Stephen Shulman, Southern Illinois University Prof. Stefan Troebst, University of Leipzig POLITICAL ECONOMY & PUBLIC POLICY Dr. Andreas Goldthau, Central European University Dr. Robert Kravchuk, University of North Carolina Dr. David Lane, University of Cambridge Dr. Carol Leonard, Higher School of Economics, Moscow Dr. Maria Popova, McGill University, Montreal

ADVISORY BOARD*

Prof. Dominique Arel, University of Ottawa Prof. Jörg Baberowski, Humboldt University of Berlin Prof. Margarita Balmaceda, Seton Hall University Dr. John Barber, University of Cambridge Prof. Timm Beichelt, European University Viadrina Dr. Katrin Boeckh, University of Munich Prof. em. Archie Brown, University of Oxford Dr. Vyacheslav Bryukhovetsky, Kviv-Mohyla Academy Prof. Timothy Colton, Harvard University, Cambridge Prof. Paul D'Anieri, University of Florida Dr. Heike Dörrenbächer, Friedrich Naumann Foundation Dr. John Dunlop, Hoover Institution, Stanford, California Dr. Sabine Fischer, SWP, Berlin Dr. Geir Flikke, NUPI, Oslo Prof. David Galbreath, University of Aberdeen Prof. Alexander Galkin, Russian Academy of Sciences Prof. Frank Golczewski, University of Hamburg Dr. Nikolas Gvosdev, Naval War College, Newport, RI Dr. Guido Hausmann, University of Munich Prof. Dale Herspring, Kansas State University Dr. Stefani Hoffman, Hebrew University of Jerusalem Prof. Mikhail Ilyin, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow Prof. Vladimir Kantor, Higher School of Economics Prof. em. Andrzej Korbonski, University of California Dr. Iris Kempe, "Caucasus Analytical Digest" Prof. Herbert Küpper, Institut für Ostrecht Regensburg Dr. Rainer Lindner, CEEER, Berlin Dr. Vladimir Malakhov, Russian Academy of Sciences Dr. Luke March, University of Edinburgh

Commissioning Editor: Max Jakob Horstmann, London, mjh@ibidem.eu

FOREIGN POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Dr. Peter Duncan, University College London Prof. Andreas Heinemann-Grüder, University of Bonn Prof. Gerhard Mangott, University of Innsbruck Dr. Diana Schmidt-Pfister, University of Konstanz Dr. Lisbeth Tarlow, Harvard University, Cambridge Dr. Christian Wipperfürth, N-Ost Network, Berlin Dr. William Zimmerman, University of Michigan HISTORY, CULTURE & THOUGHT Dr. Catherine Andrevev, University of Oxford Prof. Mark Bassin, Södertörn Universitv Prof. Karsten Brüggemann, Tallinn University Dr. Alexander Etkind, University of Cambridge Dr. Gasan Gusejnov, Moscow State University Prof. Leonid Luks, Catholic University of Eichstaett Dr. Olga Malinova, Russian Academy of Sciences Dr. Richard Mole, University College London Prof. Andrei Rogatchevski, University of Tromsø Dr. Mark Tauger, West Virginia University

Prof. Michael McFaul, Stanford University, Palo Alto Prof. Birgit Menzel, University of Mainz-Germersheim Prof. Valery Mikhailenko, The Urals State University Prof. Emil Pain, Higher School of Economics, Moscow Dr. Oleg Podvintsev, Russian Academy of Sciences Prof. Olga Popova, St. Petersburg State University Dr. Alex Pravda, University of Oxford Dr. Erik van Ree, University of Amsterdam Dr. Joachim Rogall, Robert Bosch Foundation Stuttgart Prof. Peter Rutland, Wesleyan University, Middletown Prof. Marat Salikov, The Urals State Law Academy Dr. Gwendolyn Sasse, University of Oxford Prof. Jutta Scherrer, EHESS, Paris Prof. Robert Service, University of Oxford Mr. James Sherr, RIIA Chatham House London Dr. Oxana Shevel, Tufts University, Medford Prof. Eberhard Schneider, University of Siegen Prof. Olexander Shnyrkov, Shevchenko University, Kviv Prof. Hans-Henning Schröder, SWP, Berlin Prof. Yuri Shapoval, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences Prof. Viktor Shnirelman, Russian Academy of Sciences Dr. Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia Dr. Philip Walters, "Religion, State and Society", Oxford Prof. Zenon Wasyliw, Ithaca College, New York State Dr. Lucan Way, University of Toronto Dr. Markus Wehner, "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung" Dr. Andrew Wilson, University College London Prof. Jan Zielonka, University of Oxford Prof. Andrei Zorin, University of Oxford

* While the Editorial Committee and Advisory Board support the General Editor in the choice and improvement of manuscripts for publication, responsibility for remaining errors and misinterpretations in the series' volumes lies with the books' authors.

Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS) ISSN 1614-3515

Founded in 2004 and refereed since 2007, SPPS	Recen	t Volumes
makes available affordable English-, German-, and Russian-language studies on the history of the countries of the former Soviet bloc from the late Tsarist period to today. It publishes between 5 and 20 volumes per year and focuses on issues	220	<i>Gergana Dimova</i> Political Uncertainty A Comparative Exploration With a foreword by Todor Yalamov and Rumena Filipova ISBN 978-3-8382-1385-9
in transitions to and from democracy such as eco- nomic crisis, identity formation, civil society de- velopment, and constitutional reform in CEE and the NIS. SPPS also aims to highlight so far un-	221	<i>Torben Waschke</i> Russland in Transition Geopolitik zwischen Raum, Identität und Machtinteressen Mit einem Vorwort von Andreas Dittmann ISBN 978-3-8382-1480-1
derstudied themes in East European studies such as right-wing radicalism, religious life, higher ed- ucation, or human rights protection. The authors and titles of all previously published volumes are	222	Steven Jobbitt, Zsolt Bottlik, Marton Berki (Eds.) Power and Identity in the Post-Soviet Realm. Geographies of Ethnicity and Nationality after 1991 ISBN 978-3-8382-1399-6
listed at the end of this book. For a full descrip- tion of the series and reviews of its books, see www.ibidem-verlag.de/red/spps.	223	Daria Buteiko Erinnerungsort: Ort des Gedenkens, der Erholung oder der Einkehr? Kommunismus-Erinnerung an einem historischen Ort am
Editorial correspondence & manuscripts should be sent to: Dr. Andreas Umland, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, Department of Politi- cal Science, vul. Voloska 8/5, korp. 4, UA-		Beispiel der Gedenkstätte Berliner Mauer sowie des Soloveckij-Klosters und -Museumsparks Mit einem Vorwort von Sigrit Jacobeit ISBN 978-3-8382-1367-5
04070 Kyiv, UKRAINE Business correspondence & review copy re-	224	Olga Bertelsen (Ed.) Russian Active Measures Yesterday, Today, Tomorrow With a foreword by Jan Goldman ISBN 978-3-8382-1529-7
quests should be sent to: <i>ibidem</i> Press, Leuschnerstr. 40, 30457 Hannover, Germany; tel.: +49 511 2622200; fax: +49 511 2622201; spps@ibidem.eu.	225	David Mandel "Optimizing" Higher Education in Russia University Teachers and Their Union "Universitetskaya solidarnost" ISBN 978-3-8382-1519-8
Authors, reviewers, referees, and editors for (as well as all other persons sympathetic to) SPPS are invited to join its networks at www.fa- cebook.com/group.php?gid=52638198614	226	Daria Isachenko, Mykhailo Minakov, Gwendolyn Sasse (Eds.) Post-Soviet Secessionism Nation-Building and State-Failure after Communism
www.linkedin.com/groups?about=&gid=103012 www.xing.com/net/spps-ibidem-verlag/	227	ISBN 978-3-8382-1538-9 Jakob Hauter (Ed.) Civil War? Interstate War? Hybrid War? Dimensions and Interpretations of the Donbas Conflict in 2014–2020 With a foreword by Andrew Wilson ISBN 978-3-8382-1383-5
	228	Tima T. Moldogaziev, Gene A. Brewer, J. Edward Kellough (Eds.) Public Policy and Politics in Georgia Lessons from Post-Soviet Transition With a foreword by Dan Durning ISBN 978-3-8382-1535-8

Oxana Schmies (ed.)

NATO'S ENLARGEMENT AND RUSSIA

A Strategic Challenge in the Past and Future

With a foreword by Vladimir Kara-Murza



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Cover image: iStock.com/PromesaArtStudio

ISBN-13: 978-3-8382-1478-8 © *ibidem*-Verlag, Stuttgart 2021 Alle Rechte vorbehalten

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und elektronische Speicherformen sowie die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronical, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

Printed in the EU

Contents

Vladimir V. Kara-Murza
Foreword: A Europe "Whole and Free" Will Not Be Possible
Without Russia7
Note by the Series Editor
<i>Oxana Schmies</i> Introduction13
Looking for Historical Unlocking: Issues of Strategic Stability
<i>Alexey Arbatov</i> Nuclear Deterrence: A Guarantee or Threat to Strategic Stability?
<i>John Kornblum</i> 50 Years Ago: Kennedy, Brandt, Nixon—A Model for 21 st Century Statecraft?
<i>Liviu Horovitz</i> A "Great Prize," But Not the Main Prize: British Internal Deliberations on Not-Losing Russia, 1993–1995
<i>Steven Pifer</i> The Clinton Administration and Reshaping Europe113
Russia and NATO: Security Guarantees as a Strategic Challenge for Central and Eastern Europe
<i>Marcin Zaborowski</i> Central European Security and Russia143
Andreas Heinemann-Grüder The Ukraine Conflict: Lessons for NATO, Kyiv and Their Future Relations

<i>Mariana Budjeryn & Andreas Umland</i> Damage Control: The Breach of the Budapest Memorandum and the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Regime
<i>Pavlo Klimkin</i> Lost and Real Chances in Western-Ukrainian-Russian Relations: An Interview
Russia as a Security Challenge of Tomorrow: Some Clues
<i>Gleb Pavlovsky</i> Strategic Decentering: Moscow's Ideological Rhetoric and its Strategic Unconscious, 2012–2020
<i>Dmitry Stefanovich & Mikhail Mironyuk</i> Foundations of Current and Future Security Relations Between Russia and NATO Member States: Narratives, Capabilities, Perceptions and Misperceptions
Roderich Kiesewetter Cooperation vs. Confrontation: German-Russian Security Relations Between Geopolitical Poles
<i>Łukasz Adamski</i> On the Misperception of Russia's Foreign and Security Policies 247
<i>Reiner Schwalb</i> Russian Military Policy and Moscow's Approaches Towards the West

Oxana Schmies	
Concluding Remarks	

Foreword

A Europe "Whole and Free" Will Not Be Possible Without Russia

Vladimir V. Kara-Murza

On December 20, 1991, as NATO diplomats gathered at the alliance's headquarters in Brussels to meet with counterparts from the former Warsaw Pact countries, the Russian envoy, Nikolai Afanasyevsky, made two surprising announcements. With the first, he asked for the words "Soviet Union" to be struck from the communiqué, reflecting a reality that would take Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev five more days to recognize. With the second, he read out a letter from Russian President Boris Yeltsin to NATO Secretary-General Manfred Wörner. Vowing to work toward fostering "a climate of mutual understanding and trust, strengthening stability and cooperation on the European continent," the Russian leader – the first to be democratically elected in the country's history – affirmed that he was "raising a question of Russia's membership in NATO ... as a long-term political aim."¹

"Formed four decades ago to deter a Soviet invasion of Western Europe, [NATO] now finds itself having to deter a stampede from the newly liberated Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union, which want to join the Western alliance," noted *The New York Times*' dispatch from the meeting, summarizing the challenge before the Transatlantic community.²

Three decades on, the results of NATO's response to that challenge have been mixed – as testified to by the questions posed in this volume, edited by Oxana Schmies, that brings together some of the best strategic minds from both coasts of the Atlantic and both sides

2 Ibidem.

¹ Friedman, Thomas. 1991. "Soviet disarray; Yeltsin Says Russia Seeks to Join NATO." New York Times, December 21, 1991. https://www.nytimes.com/1991 /12/21/world/soviet-disarray-yeltsin-says-russia-seeks-to-join-nato.html.

of the former Iron Curtain. On the one hand, NATO enlargement has been a resounding success, doubling the number of member states and expanding the zone of freedom and security to an extent unimaginable by participants of that December meeting. On the other hand, it has proven to be a dismal failure, having led to a new dynamic of confrontation between the Western alliance and Russia – Europe's largest country, without which the celebrated goal of a Europe "whole and free" is unachievable by definition.

This question—of Russia's place in Europe and in the Euro-Atlantic community writ large—is central to any discussion about NATO (and, although this falls outside the scope of this volume, European Union) enlargement, with regard to both analyzing the past and preparing for the future. Indeed, these two goals are closely connected: studying past mistakes is a prerequisite for avoiding their repeat.

It appears that one of the West's gravest mistakes in the early 1990s was its unpreparedness to deal with the challenge of integrating a democratic Russia as one of its own. So far-fetched seemed the very prospect of a democratic Russia in the late 1980s, so confident seemed the predictions by Western analysts that the Soviet regime was secure and not going anywhere, that when reality altered these predictions in 1991 Western leaders were unsure how to respond. According to contemporary press accounts from the Brussels meeting in December 1991, after President Yeltsin's statement was read out "NATO officials, from Secretary-General Wörner on down, seemed too taken aback by the Russian letter to give any coherent response."³

Yet that response—if well prepared and correctly pitched could have proven crucial at a critical time. As many policymakers from Central and Eastern Europe have attested, the prospect of Euro-Atlantic integration played a key role in these countries' successful transition to democracy and a free market in the 1990s. Indeed, in his February 1990 address to a joint session of the U.S. Con-

³ Friedman, Thomas. 1991. "Soviet disarray; Yeltsin Says Russia Seeks to Join NATO." New York Times, December 21, 1991. https://www.nytimes.com/1991 /12/21/world/soviet-disarray-yeltsin-says-russia-seeks-to-join-nato.html.

gress Václav Havel, the new president of Czechoslovakia, chose to frame that whole transition in terms of his country "returning to Europe."⁴ The promise of a full-fledged integration—not only with the reassertion of former Eastern Bloc countries as "fully European" but also with tangible benefits to their citizens in the form of open markets, free trade, and visa-free travel—served as a powerful incentive to political elites for persisting in and successfully completing the post-communist transformation.

No such incentive was offered to the reformers in Yeltsin's government. While Russia was admitted into the Council of Europe and the G8-the former giving Russian citizens important protections under the European Convention on Human Rights and access to the European Court, the latter the symbolic status of a respected world power - the benefits of "first-track" European integration remained out of reach. Accession to the European Union-which, under the terms of the Maastricht Treaty, was supposed to be open to "any European state" – was not offered to Yeltsin's Russia even as a distant possibility; while it was only in 1995 that Russian Foreign Minister Andrei Kozyrev (as he recalls in his recently published memoir, The Firebird) finally received assurances from the Clinton Administration that the U.S. would be open to future Russian membership in NATO.⁵ By then, the democratic window of opportunity in Russia itself was rapidly closing for reasons of domestic politics and a botched post-communist transition that would warrant a separate discussion of its own.

It is well known that Russia's foreign policy is closely linked to domestic political developments. Democratic aspirations at home translate into a more friendly and progressive international posturing; testimony to that are President Yeltsin's efforts in support of the independence of the Baltic States in the waning says of

⁴ C-SPAN. 1990. "Czechoslovakian President Address." Accessed January 19, 2021. www.c-span.org/video/?10917-1/czechoslovakian-president-address.

⁵ European Union. 1992. "Treaty on European Union signed at Maastricht, February 7, 1992." Official Journal of the European Communities 35: 1-67. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=OJ:C:1992:191:FULL&fr om=EN; Andrei Kozyrev. 2019. The Firebird: The Elusive Fate of Russian Democracy. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 269.

the Soviet Union – efforts backed by Russian public opinion at the time. The reverse correlation was persuasively demonstrated after Vladimir Putin came to power in 2000. Having begun his rule by silencing independent media voices, taming parliament and the judiciary, fixing elections, and going after opponents, he proceeded with a multitude of foreign entanglements, including actual wars in Georgia and eastern Ukraine and, with Crimea, the first state-tostate territorial annexation on European soil since the end of the Second World War. There is no reason to expect a regime that tramples on the rights of its own people and violates its own laws to respect international norms or the interests of other nations.

Just as the retreat from hope and cooperation of the 1990s to confrontation and what some analysts are terming the "new cold war" of today has resulted mainly from Russia's domestic turn to authoritarianism, so another window of opportunity for East-West relations will likely come from a renewed demand for freedom in dignity in Russian society. The signs of what may be to come are already there, from the recent mass protests in Moscow and Khabarovsk to an increased participation of Russian youth in civic and political life to opposition successes in local elections to a marked decline in Putin's popularity (however credible it was in the first place, given the inevitable slant in opinion polls under an authoritarian system). A post-Putin democratic Russia may seem as implausible today as the fall of the Soviet system did in the late 1980s. But it is never a bad idea to be prepared. The authors and editors of this volume deserve great credit for helping to lay the groundwork for such preparation.

Vladimir V. Kara-Murza is a Russian opposition politician, author and filmmaker. He serves as chairman of the Boris Nemtsov Foundation for Freedom and vice-president of the Free Russia Foundation.