Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS)

ISSN 1614-3515

General Editor: Andreas Umland,

Stockholm Centre for Eastern European Studies, andreas.umland@ui.se

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE*

DOMESTIC & COMPARATIVE POLITICS

Prof. Ellen Bos, Andrássy University of Budapest

Dr. Gergana Dimova, University of Winchester

Dr. Andrey Kazantsev, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Heiko Pleines, University of Bremen

Prof. Richard Sakwa, University of Kent at Canterbury

Dr. Sarah Whitmore, Oxford Brookes University

Dr. Harald Wydra, University of Cambridge

SOCIETY, CLASS & ETHNICITY

Col. David Glantz, "Journal of Slavic Military Studies"

Dr. Marlène Laruelle, George Washington University

Dr. Stephen Shulman, Southern Illinois University

Prof. Stefan Troebst, University of Leipzig

POLITICAL ECONOMY & PUBLIC POLICY

Dr. Andreas Goldthau, Central European University

Dr. Robert Kravchuk, University of North Carolina Dr. David Lane, University of Cambridge

Dr. Carol Leonard, Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Dr. Maria Popova, McGill University, Montreal

ADVISORY BOARD*

Prof. Dominique Arel, University of Ottawa

Prof. Jörg Baberowski, Humboldt University of Berlin

Prof. Margarita Balmaceda, Seton Hall University

Dr. John Barber, University of Cambridge

Prof. Timm Beichelt, European University Viadrina

Dr. Katrin Boeckh, University of Munich

Prof. em. Archie Brown, University of Oxford

Dr. Vyacheslav Bryukhovetsky, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy

Prof. Timothy Colton, Harvard University, Cambridge

Prof. Paul D'Anieri, University of Florida

Dr. Heike Dörrenbächer, Friedrich Naumann Foundation

Dr. John Dunlop, Hoover Institution, Stanford, California

Dr. Sabine Fischer, SWP, Berlin

Dr. Geir Flikke, NUPI, Oslo

Prof. David Galbreath, University of Aberdeen

Prof. Alexander Galkin, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Frank Golczewski, University of Hamburg

Dr. Nikolas Gvosdev, Naval War College, Newport, RI

Prof. Mark von Hagen, Arizona State University

Dr. Guido Hausmann, University of Munich

Prof. Dale Herspring, Kansas State University

Dr. Stefani Hoffman, Hebrew University of Jerusalem

Prof. Mikhail Ilvin, MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow

Prof. Vladimir Kantor, Higher School of Economics

Dr. Ivan Katchanovski, University of Ottawa

Prof. em. Andrzej Korbonski, University of California

Dr. Iris Kempe, "Caucasus Analytical Digest"

Prof. Herbert Küpper, Institut für Ostrecht Regensburg

Dr. Rainer Lindner, CEEER, Berlin

Dr. Vladimir Malakhov, Russian Academy of Sciences

Commissioning Editor: Max Jakob Horstmann, London, mjh@ibidem.eu

FOREIGN POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Dr. Peter Duncan, University College London

Prof. Andreas Heinemann-Grüder, University of Bonn

Prof. Gerhard Mangott, University of Innsbruck

Dr. Diana Schmidt-Pfister, University of Konstanz

Dr. Lisbeth Tarlow, Harvard University, Cambridge

Dr. Christian Wipperfürth, N-Ost Network, Berlin

Dr. William Zimmerman, University of Michigan HISTORY, CULTURE & THOUGHT

Dr. Catherine Andreyev, University of Oxford

Prof. Mark Bassin, Södertörn University

Prof. Karsten Brüggemann, Tallinn University

Dr. Alexander Etkind, University of Cambridge

Dr. Gasan Gusejnov, Moscow State University

Prof. Leonid Luks, Catholic University of Eichstaett

Dr. Olga Malinova, Russian Academy of Sciences Dr. Richard Mole, University College London

Prof. Andrei Rogatchevski, University of Tromsø

Dr. Mark Tauger, West Virginia University

Dr. Luke March, University of Edinburgh

Prof. Michael McFaul, Stanford University, Palo Alto

Prof. Birgit Menzel, University of Mainz-Germersheim

Prof. Valery Mikhailenko, The Urals State University

Prof. Emil Pain, Higher School of Economics, Moscow

Dr. Oleg Podvintsev, Russian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Olga Popova, St. Petersburg State University

Dr. Alex Pravda, University of Oxford

Dr. Erik van Ree, University of Amsterdam

Dr. Joachim Rogall, Robert Bosch Foundation Stuttgart

Prof. Peter Rutland, Weslevan University, Middletown Prof. Marat Salikov, The Urals State Law Academy

Dr. Gwendolyn Sasse, University of Oxford

Prof. Jutta Scherrer, EHESS, Paris

Prof. Robert Service, University of Oxford

Mr. James Sherr, RIIA Chatham House London

Dr. Oxana Shevel, Tufts University, Medford

Prof. Eberhard Schneider, University of Siegen

Prof. Olexander Shnyrkov, Shevchenko University, Kyiv

Prof. Hans-Henning Schröder, SWP, Berlin

Prof. Yuri Shapoval, Ukrainian Academy of Sciences

Prof. Viktor Shnirelman, Russian Academy of Sciences

Dr. Lisa Sundstrom, University of British Columbia

Dr. Philip Walters, "Religion, State and Society", Oxford

Prof. **Zenon Wasyliw**, *Ithaca College*, *New York State*

Dr. Lucan Way, University of Toronto

Dr. Markus Wehner, "Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"

Dr. Andrew Wilson, University College London

Prof. Jan Zielonka, University of Oxford

Prof. Andrei Zorin, University of Oxford

^{*} While the Editorial Committee and Advisory Board support the General Editor in the choice and improvement of manuscripts for publication, responsibility for remaining errors and misinterpretations in the series' volumes lies with the books' authors.

Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS)

ISSN 1614-3515

Founded in 2004 and refereed since 2007, SPPS makes available affordable English-, German-, and Russian-language studies on the history of the countries of the former Soviet bloc from the late Tsarist period to today. It publishes between 5 and 20 volumes per year and focuses on issues in transitions to and from democracy such as economic crisis, identity formation, civil society development, and constitutional reform in CEE and the NIS. SPPS also aims to highlight so far understudied themes in East European studies such as right-wing radicalism, religious life, higher education, or human rights protection. The authors and titles of all previously published volumes are listed at the end of this book. For a full description of the series and reviews of its books, see www.ibidem-verlag.de/red/spps.

Editorial correspondence & manuscripts should be sent to: Dr. Andreas Umland, Department of Political Science, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, vul. Voloska 8/5, UA-04070 Kyiv, UKRAINE; andreas.umland@cantab.net

Business correspondence & review copy requests should be sent to: *ibidem* Press, Leuschnerstr. 40, 30457 Hannover, Germany; tel.: +49 511 2622200; fax: +49 511 2622201; spps@ibidem.eu.

Authors, reviewers, referees, and editors for (as well as all other persons sympathetic to) SPPS are invited to join its networks at www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=52638198614 www.linkedin.com/groups?about=&gid=103012 www.xing.com/net/spps-ibidem-verlag/

Recent Volumes

244

- 241 Izabella Agardi
 On the Verge of History
 Life Stories of Rural Women from Serbia, Romania, and
 Hungary, 1920–2020
 With a foreword by Andrea Pető
 ISBN 978-3-8382-1602-7
- 242 Sebastian Schäffer (Ed.) Ukraine in Central and Eastern Europe Kyiv's Foreign Affairs and the International Relations of the Post-Communist Region With a foreword by Pavlo Klimkin ISBN 978-3-8382-1615-7
- 243 Volodymyr Dubrovskyi, Kalman Mizsei, Mychailo Wynnyckyj (Eds.) Eight Years after the Revolution of Dignity What Has Changed in Ukraine during 2013–2021? With a foreword by Yaroslav Hrytsak ISBN 978-3-8382-1560-0
 - Rumena Filipova
 Constructing the Limits of Europe
 Identity and Foreign Policy in Poland, Bulgaria, and
 Russia since 1989
 With forewords by Harald Wydra and Gergana YankovaDimova
 ISBN 978-3-8382-1649-2
- 245 Oleksandra Keudel How Patronal Networks Shape Opportunities for Local Citizen Participation in a Hybrid Regime A Comparative Analysis of Five Cities in Ukraine With a foreword by Sabine Kropp ISBN 978-3-8382-1671-3
- Jan Claas Behrends, Thomas Lindenberger,
 Pavel Kolar (Eds.)
 Violence after Stalin
 Institutions, Practices, and Everyday Life in the Soviet
 Bloc 1953–1989
 ISBN 978-3-8382-1637-9
- 247 Leonid Luks Macht und Ohnmacht der Utopien Essays zur Geschichte Russlands im 20. und 21. Jahrhundert ISBN 978-3-8382-1677-5
- 248 Iuliia Barshadska Brüssel zwischen Kyjiw und Moskau Das auswärtige Handeln der Europäischen Union im ukrainisch-russischen Konflikt 2014-2019 Mit einem Vorwort von Olaf Leiße ISBN 978-3-8382-1667-6

Valentyna Romanova

DECENTRALIZATION AND MULTILEVEL ELECTIONS IN UKRAINE

Reform Dynamics and Party Politics in 2010–2021

With a foreword by Kimitaka Matsuzato



Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über http://dnb.d-nb.de abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.d-nb.de.

Cover illustration: Photo by Alina Grubnyak on Unsplash (https://unsplash.com/photos/ZiOkhI7417A)

This monograph has been published with the support of the Marie Curie Alumni Association.

ISBN-13: 978-3-8382-1700-0 © *ibidem*-Verlag, Stuttgart 2022 Alle Rechte vorbehalten

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und elektronische Speicherformen sowie die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronical, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

Printed in the EU

Contents

Fo	reword	9
En	ndorsements (short)	8
Int	troduction	15
1	The Rise of Local Authority	39
	Policy Learning	48
	Policy Change	48
2	No Rise of Regional Authority	63
	Policy Learning	63
	(Attempts at) Policy Change	69
3	The Dynamics of Regionalized Party Competition	83
4	Multilevel Elections' Incongruence and Decentralization.	91
5	Multilevel Competition and Decentralization	113
6	The Aftermath of Regional Contests. The Indirect	
	Elections of Regional Council Heads	135
Conclusion		151
Bibliography		161
Ap	opendix	183
En	ndorsements (full)	.216

Tables and Boxes

- Box 1.2. Public spending on education and healthcare.
- Table 4.1. Average dissimilarity indices in the three multilevel electoral cycles under investigation.
- Table 4.2. Dissimilarity indices for parliamentary and municipal elections in each region in the three multilevel electoral cycles under investigation.
- Table 4.3. Dissimilarity indices for parliamentary and regional elections in each region in the three multilevel electoral cycles under investigation.
- Table 4.4. The number of cases of congruence between parties-frontrunners in the same *oblasts*.
- Table 4.5. Average ENP at regional and municipal electoral arenas in 2010, 2015, 2020.
- Table 4.6. ENP at regional and municipal electoral arenas in 2010, 2015, 2020: regional dimension.
- Table 4.7. Number of cases with a dominant-party rule in regional and municipal councils elected in 2010, 2015, 2020.
- Box 5.1. Major non-parliamentary parties in 2010 and 2015.
- Box 6.1. Regional governors and elections in 2020.
- Box 6.2. Regional governors and elections in 2010 and 2015.

Appendix

- Table A1. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and municipal contests in the 2010/2012 multilevel elections.
- Table A2. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and regional contests in the 2010/2012 multilevel elections.
- Table A3. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and municipal contests in the 2014/2015 multilevel elections.
- Table A4. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and regional contests in the 2014/2015 multilevel elections.
- Table A5. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and municipal contests in the 2019/2020 multilevel elections.
- Table A6. Dissimilarity indices: the congruence of parliamentary and regional contests in the 2019/2020 multilevel elections.
- Table A7. Parties-frontrunners in the three multilevel elections studied.

Endorsements

Valentyna Romanova provides a detailed analysis ... a valuable book for those interested in Ukrainian politics.—Paul D'Anieri

A brilliant and detailed analysis. Based on deep and empirically sound research, this book is a must-read for all students of Ukraine and post-Soviet politics.—Mikhail Minakov

Valentyna Romanova presents a rich study based on detailed understanding and years of research of local politics and elections in Ukraine. The book will be an invaluable resource for researchers of post-Soviet Ukrainian politics.— Paul Chaisty

Packed with insightful analysis and providing a longue durée outlook, Decentralization and Multilevel Elections in Ukraine is an indispensable read to understand the complexity of uprooting the Soviet legacy in governance. ... profoundly interesting. — Orysia Lutsevych

This is a very sophisticated study of decentralization and multilevel elections in Ukraine. The study is very well grounded in theory and provides a wealth of new empirical data to back up its novel conclusions. ... beautifully crafted ... The book makes an important contribution to the field of territorial politics and democratisation in Ukraine, and also to the wider field of comparative studies and local politics.—Cameron Ross

This book provides students of Ukrainian politics with amazing and surprising insights into the peculiarities of local power.—Nicolas Hayoz

Romanova's book on the most recent reforms in Ukraine is exemplary. The very careful and detailed study of current affairs in local and regional Ukraine makes this a must-read for students of Ukrainian politics.

– Ulrik Kjær

A meticulous analysis ... strongly recommended for everybody interested in Ukrainian politics. — Kataryna Wolczuk

Foreword

This monograph is a result of Dr. Valentyna Romanova's many years' research on Ukraine's subnational politics and elections. After earning a PhD degree at Kyiv-Mohyla Academy University, she spent four years at the University of Edinburgh to study and teach political science. After returning to Ukraine, she launched her career at the National Institute for Strategic Studies subordinated to the Administration of the President of Ukraine and served three presidents (Viktor Yanukovych, Petro Poroshenko, and Volodymyr Zelenskyy), with an interval when she worked at a private thinktank in Kyiv. Romanova's experience at the president's policy-making institute gave her a chance to observe Ukraine's decentralization reform from within.

As one of the editors of *Regional and Federal Studies*, Romanova gained affluent expertise in subnational politics in post-communist and even other regions of the world. This expertise allows her to exploit an institutionalist approach to analyzing Ukraine's decentralization reform and subnational elections in the light of elites' intentions and alliances. Simultaneously, this book discloses an unknown aspect of Ukraine's political history in this century.

The readers may think that Ukraine's decentralization reform after the Euromaidan Revolution, which enlarged and strengthened basic local authorities and deprived regional (*oblast*) and district (*raion*) authorities of previous competences, was a phenomenon analogous to municipal reforms performed by Visehrad countries in the 1990s. In the latter cases, the reform coalition of central and local politicians abolished meso-level governments or transformed them into state organs, regarding them as bastions of conservative forces. It might also be possible to interpret the center-local coalition in Ukraine as an attempt to weaken regional identities exploitable by separatist forces. However, Romanova's analysis based on the concept of the "advocacy coalition framework" casts doubt to these teleological interpretations. In post-Euromaidan Ukraine, policy-makers pursued both amalgamation of basic

municipalities and municipalization of regions and districts, but only the former was blessed with the formation of an advocacy coalition.

Romanova traces the origin of Ukraine's decentralization reform to Roman Bezsmertnyy's project in 2005. In other words, four presidential administrations, from Viktor Yushchenko to Zelenskyy, harbored the idea of decentralization, irrespective of their geopolitical orientation. In my view, the early origin of amalgamation of municipal units is a natural result of Ukrainian reformers' institutional choice in the 1990s. The Ukrainian Constitution of 1996 defined cities, towns, and villages as municipal units, while making regions and districts units for state administration and having their chief administrators appointed by the president. Thus emerged 10,961 small municipalities with average populations of about 1,500. The small scale of municipalities put their sustainability in question.

Ukraine's bet on villages and towns as the basic unit of local self-government reminds us of Armenia's experience. Independent Armenia not only betted on village soviets as the basic unit of local self-government, but even divided them (which used to be administrative villages in the Soviet era) into spontaneous settlements. In this way, approximately eight hundred municipalities materialized often with a population of a few hundred people in this small country. Moreover, in Armenia, provinces (marzer) only had representatives of the central government and never enjoyed the status of an upper tier of self-government.¹

For both Armenia and Ukraine, amalgamation of municipalities was inevitable. In both countries, this process accelerated after the revolutions (in Ukraine in 2014 and Armenia in 2018) perhaps because the post-revolutionary leaders began to adopt new tactics to win elections, in contrast to the old elites' endeavor to build a nationwide patronal hierarchy of electoral machines. In Armenia,

Kimitaka Matsuzato and Stepan Danielyan, "Faith or Tradition: The Armenian Apostolic Church and Community-Building in Armenia and Nagorny Karabakh," Religion, State & Society 41, 1 (2013), p. 24.

the number of municipalities slowly shrank to about five hundred by 2017, but, after the April Revolution in 2018, it decreased to 79 in 2021, with about a twenty thousand population on average.² As Romanova notes, the number of Ukraine's municipalities decreased from 10,961 in 2014 to 1,469 in 2020. These enlarged municipalities had an average population of about 13,000. These scales of municipalities in Ukraine and Armenia remind us of raiony (districts) before Nikita Khrushchev's policy of raion amalgamation in the early 1960s.

In contrast, Russian and Lithuanian state-builders counted on raiony as the basic unit of local self-government. The amended Russian Federal Law on the General Principles of Local Self-Government of 2003 made towns and villages the lower tier of local selfgovernment, indeed with a chronical deficit of human and financial resources, while in Lithuania villages and towns were degraded to intra-municipal structures. Remarkably, when Lithuanian reformers designed the new system of local self-government in the 1990s, an option intended to divide the then existing 56 raiony and cities into about 90-120 smaller municipalities with average populations of twenty to thirty thousand. One of the possible criteria to demarcate these new raiony was the boundaries of pre-Khrushchev raiony.³ In the late 1990s, the then Conservative government established five new raiony to reverse its falling popularity, partly responding to the former raion central settlements' desire to regain their previous status of which they had been deprived by Khrushchev's amalgamation policy.4

Interview with Daniel Ioannisyan, advisor of the Government Committee on 2 Constitutional Reform in Armenia, January 21, 2022, Yerevan.

³ My interview with Algirdas Astrauskas, advisor of the Committee on State Administration and Local Self-Government of the Lithuanian Parliament, February 23, 2018, Vilnius.

Kimitaka Matsuzato, "The Last Bastion of Unitarism? Local Institutions, Party 4 Politics and Ramifications of EU Accession in Lithuania," Eurasian Geography and Economics 43, 5 (2002), pp. 362-363.

Thus, we see the ghost of pre-Khrushchev *raiony* wandering in these countries despite the significant demographic changes there since the 1960s.

Another point Romanova makes is the incongruence of national, regional, and local (regional capital) elections. Conventional wisdom in political science regards significant incongruence between elections at various levels as a menace to the integrity of the state or normal functioning of federalism.⁵ Subnational elections held before national elections expose potential social trends and facilitate the formation of winning coalitions for the coming national elections, as often happens in Lithuania and Poland. Honeymoon voting⁶ is possible not only in parliamentary but also local elections held soon after presidential elections.

In contrast to these merits of electoral congruence for regime survival, Romanova describes inter-electoral incongruence in a positive light. A national ruling party might become the top runner at general elections in a region, but this might not be the case for the same region's regional council and/or regional capital elections.

In my view, an example of the multilevel incongruences of election results beneficial for regime survival was those observed in Russian politics during the 1990s. In 1996, influential governors and ethnic republic presidents described themselves as defenders of local interests, struggling to minimize the negative influence of the erroneous reform policy adopted by the federal government on the local population. As a result, in a series of regions, pro-communist (anti-Yeltsin) voters in the presidential elections voted for their incumbent regional leaders appointed by or coalesced with President Boris Yeltsin in the gubernatorial elections. With hind-sight, the multilevel electoral incongruence facilitated the defusing

⁵ Peter Ordeshook, "Russia's Party System: Is Russian Federalism Viable?," *Post-Soviet Affairs* 12, 3 (1996), pp. 195-217.

⁶ Matthew S. Shugart and John M. Carey, Presidents and Assemblies: Constitutional Design and Electoral Dynamics (Cambridge University Press, 1992), Chapter 11.

of the population's social discontent and enabled consolidation of a post-communist patronal regime in Russia.

In the 2010-2012 electoral cycle in Ukraine, the vertical electoral incongruence was relatively insignificant since the Party of Regions won the presidential, subnational, and parliamentary elections in a number of regions. This means that Yanukovych had built a nationwide hierarchy of electoral machines with the exception of regions, which did not accept his regime for identity reasons.

In the 2014-2015 electoral cycle, despite the exodus of a significant portion of the pro-Russian vote from Ukraine's electoral scene, the vertical electoral incongruence increased because Poroshenko's party was forced to share the benefits of the Euromaidan Revolution with other parties. In addition, mayoral parties had already emerged in the 2015 local elections.

In the following period, Poroshenko could not build a nation-wide electoral hierarchy indispensable for his reelection because there were neither national nor (statewide) subnational elections during 2016-2018. Moreover, in 2016-2018, the European Union requested Ukraine to adopt the "contest (konkurs) principle" in nomination of governor candidates and restricted the president's prerogative to appoint governors at his discretion.

While Poroshenko's electoral defeat in 2019 is explained by his failure in building a nationwide electoral machine, President Zelenskyy rejected it consciously in the 2019-2020 electoral cycle and soon chose to ally with growing mayoral parties. As is well known, conflicts took place between the president and mayors in coping with the pandemic of COVID-19 in the spring of 2020, but, after mayoral parties' victories in the local elections, they quickly adjusted their relations. In some regional councils, having lost their previous authority after the completion of local amalgamation, the presidential People's Servant Party and mayoral parties made deals for gubernatorial appointment.

One of the driving forces of the development of mayoral parties in regions of post-Euromaidan Ukraine was to save the lifeline for the population (daily public administration) from polarizing and ideologizing national politics. This motivation met Zelenskyy's desire. Moreover, perhaps Zelenskyy and his administration did

14 Foreword

not want to overwhelm themselves with detailed expertise for daily public administration. For the lack of desire to build a nationwide electoral machine and of expertise for providing the population with daily services, which characterizes such post-post-communist politicians as Zelenskyy and Nikol Pashinyan in Armenia, the completion of municipal amalgamation by the 2020 local elections and the alliance with pragmatist mayors after the elections would seem to be a rational choice. Yet the sustainability of this alliance over the head of weakened middle-level governments remains to be seen.

January 30, 2022

Kimitaka Matsuzato Professor of Comparative Politics Graduate School for Law and Politics University of Tokyo