

General Editor: Andreas Umland,
Stockholm Centre for Eastern European Studies, andreas.umland@ui.se

Commissioning Editor: Max Jakob Horstmann,
London, mjh@ibidem.eu

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE*DOMESTIC & COMPARATIVE POLITICS

Prof. **Ellen Bos**, *Andrássy University of Budapest*
Dr. **Gergana Dimova**, *University of Winchester*
Dr. **Andrey Kazantsev**, *MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow*
Prof. **Heiko Pleines**, *University of Bremen*
Prof. **Richard Sakwa**, *University of Kent at Canterbury*
Dr. **Sarah Whitmore**, *Oxford Brookes University*
Dr. **Harald Wydra**, *University of Cambridge*

SOCIETY, CLASS & ETHNICITY

Col. **David Glantz**, *"Journal of Slavic Military Studies"*
Dr. **Marlène Laruelle**, *George Washington University*
Dr. **Stephen Shulman**, *Southern Illinois University*
Prof. **Stefan Troebst**, *University of Leipzig*
POLITICAL ECONOMY & PUBLIC POLICY
Dr. **Andreas Goldthau**, *Central European University*
Dr. **Robert Kravchuk**, *University of North Carolina*
Dr. **David Lane**, *University of Cambridge*
Dr. **Carol Leonard**, *Higher School of Economics, Moscow*
Dr. **Maria Popova**, *McGill University, Montreal*

FOREIGN POLICY & INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Dr. **Peter Duncan**, *University College London*
Prof. **Andreas Heinemann-Grüder**, *University of Bonn*
Prof. **Gerhard Mangott**, *University of Innsbruck*
Dr. **Diana Schmidt-Pfister**, *University of Konstanz*
Dr. **Lisbeth Tarlow**, *Harvard University, Cambridge*
Dr. **Christian Wipperfürth**, *N-Ost Network, Berlin*
Dr. **William Zimmermann**, *University of Michigan*
HISTORY, CULTURE & THOUGHT
Dr. **Catherine Andreyev**, *University of Oxford*
Prof. **Mark Bassin**, *Södertörn University*
Prof. **Karsten Brüggemann**, *Tallinn University*
Dr. **Alexander Etkind**, *University of Cambridge*
Dr. **Gasan Gusejnov**, *Moscow State University*
Prof. **Leonid Luks**, *Catholic University of Eichstaett*
Dr. **Olga Malinova**, *Russian Academy of Sciences*
Dr. **Richard Mole**, *University College London*
Prof. **Andrei Rogatchevski**, *University of Tromsø*
Dr. **Mark Tauger**, *West Virginia University*

ADVISORY BOARD*

Prof. **Dominique Arel**, *University of Ottawa*
Prof. **Jörg Baberowski**, *Humboldt University of Berlin*
Prof. **Margarita Balmaceda**, *Seton Hall University*
Dr. **John Barber**, *University of Cambridge*
Prof. **Timm Beichelt**, *European University Viadrina*
Dr. **Katrin Boeckh**, *University of Munich*
Prof. em. **Archie Brown**, *University of Oxford*
Dr. **Vyacheslav Bryukhovetsky**, *Kyiv-Mohyla Academy*
Prof. **Timothy Colton**, *Harvard University, Cambridge*
Prof. **Paul D'Anieri**, *University of Florida*
Dr. **Heike Dörrenbächer**, *Friedrich Naumann Foundation*
Dr. **John Dunlop**, *Hoover Institution, Stanford, California*
Dr. **Sabine Fischer**, *SWP, Berlin*
Dr. **Geir Flikke**, *NUPI, Oslo*
Prof. **David Galbreath**, *University of Aberdeen*
Prof. **Alexander Galkin**, *Russian Academy of Sciences*
Prof. **Frank Golczewski**, *University of Hamburg*
Dr. **Nikolas Gvosdev**, *Naval War College, Newport, RI*
Prof. **Mark von Hagen**, *Arizona State University*
Dr. **Guido Hausmann**, *University of Munich*
Prof. **Dale Herspring**, *Kansas State University*
Dr. **Stefani Hoffman**, *Hebrew University of Jerusalem*
Prof. **Mikhail Ilyin**, *MGIMO (U) MID RF, Moscow*
Prof. **Vladimir Kantor**, *Higher School of Economics*
Dr. **Ivan Katchanovski**, *University of Ottawa*
Prof. em. **Andrzej Korbonski**, *University of California*
Dr. **Iris Kempe**, *"Caucasus Analytical Digest"*
Prof. **Herbert Küpper**, *Institut für Ostrecht Regensburg*
Dr. **Rainer Lindner**, *CEEER, Berlin*
Dr. **Vladimir Malakhov**, *Russian Academy of Sciences*

Dr. **Luke March**, *University of Edinburgh*
Prof. **Michael McFaul**, *Stanford University, Palo Alto*
Prof. **Birgit Menzel**, *University of Mainz-Germersheim*
Prof. **Valery Mikhailenko**, *The Urals State University*
Prof. **Emil Pain**, *Higher School of Economics, Moscow*
Dr. **Oleg Podvintsev**, *Russian Academy of Sciences*
Prof. **Olga Popova**, *St. Petersburg State University*
Dr. **Alex Pravda**, *University of Oxford*
Dr. **Erik van Ree**, *University of Amsterdam*
Dr. **Joachim Rogall**, *Robert Bosch Foundation Stuttgart*
Prof. **Peter Rutland**, *Wesleyan University, Middletown*
Prof. **Marat Salikov**, *The Urals State Law Academy*
Dr. **Gwendolyn Sasse**, *University of Oxford*
Prof. **Jutta Scherrer**, *EHESS, Paris*
Prof. **Robert Service**, *University of Oxford*
Mr. **James Sherr**, *RIIA Chatham House London*
Dr. **Oxana Shevel**, *Tufts University, Medford*
Prof. **Eberhard Schneider**, *University of Siegen*
Prof. **Olexander Shnyrkov**, *Shevchenko University, Kyiv*
Prof. **Hans-Henning Schröder**, *SWP, Berlin*
Prof. **Yuri Shapoval**, *Ukrainian Academy of Sciences*
Prof. **Viktor Shnirelman**, *Russian Academy of Sciences*
Dr. **Lisa Sundstrom**, *University of British Columbia*
Dr. **Philip Walters**, *"Religion, State and Society", Oxford*
Prof. **Zenon Wasyliv**, *Ithaca College, New York State*
Dr. **Lucan Way**, *University of Toronto*
Dr. **Markus Wehner**, *"Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung"*
Dr. **Andrew Wilson**, *University College London*
Prof. **Jan Zielonka**, *University of Oxford*
Prof. **Andrei Zorin**, *University of Oxford*

* While the Editorial Committee and Advisory Board support the General Editor in the choice and improvement of manuscripts for publication, responsibility for remaining errors and misinterpretations in the series' volumes lies with the books' authors.

Soviet and Post-Soviet Politics and Society (SPPS)

ISSN 1614-3515

Founded in 2004 and refereed since 2007, SPPS makes available affordable English-, German-, and Russian-language studies on the history of the countries of the former Soviet bloc from the late Tsarist period to today. It publishes between 5 and 20 volumes per year and focuses on issues in transitions to and from democracy such as economic crisis, identity formation, civil society development, and constitutional reform in CEE and the NIS. SPPS also aims to highlight so far understudied themes in East European studies such as right-wing radicalism, religious life, higher education, or human rights protection. The authors and titles of all previously published volumes are listed at the end of this book. For a full description of the series and reviews of its books, see www.ibidem-verlag.de/red/spps.

Editorial correspondence & manuscripts should be sent to: Dr. Andreas Umland, Department of Political Science, Kyiv-Mohyla Academy, vul. Voloska 8/5, UA-04070 Kyiv, UKRAINE; andreas.umland@cantab.net

Business correspondence & review copy requests should be sent to: *ibidem* Press, Leuschnerstr. 40, 30457 Hannover, Germany; tel.: +49 511 2622200; fax: +49 511 2622201; spps@ibidem.eu.

Authors, reviewers, referees, and editors for (as well as all other persons sympathetic to) SPPS are invited to join its networks at www.facebook.com/group.php?gid=52638198614
www.linkedin.com/groups?about=&gid=103012
www.xing.com/net/spps-ibidem-verlag/

Recent Volumes

- 261 *Andreas Heinemann-Grüder (Ed.)*
Who are the Fighters?
Irregular Armed Groups in the Russian-Ukrainian War in 2014–2015
ISBN 978-3-8382-1777-2
- 262 *Taras Kuzio (Ed.)*
Russian Disinformation and Western Scholarship
Bias and Prejudice in Journalistic, Expert, and Academic Analyses of East European and Eurasian Affairs
ISBN 978-3-8382-1685-0
- 263 *Darius Furmonavicius*
Lithuania Transforms the West
Lithuania's Liberation from Soviet Occupation and the Enlargement of NATO (1988–2022)
With a foreword by Vytautas Landsbergis
ISBN 978-3-8382-1779-6
- 264 *Dirk Dalberg*
Politisches Denken im tschechoslowakischen Dissens
Egon Bondy, Miroslav Kusý, Milan Šimečka und Petr Uhl (1968-1989)
ISBN 978-3-8382-1318-7
- 265 *Леонид Люк*
К столетию «философского парохода»
Мыслители «первой» русской эмиграции о русской революции и о тоталитарных соблазнах XX века
ISBN 978-3-8382-1775-8
- 266 *Daviti Mtchedlishvili*
The EU and the South Caucasus
European Neighborhood Policies between Eclecticism and Pragmatism, 1991-2021
With a foreword by Nicholas Ross Smith
ISBN 978-3-8382-1735-2
- 267 *Bohdan Harasymiw*
Post-Euromaidan Ukraine
Domestic Power Struggles and War of National Survival in 2014–2022
ISBN 978-3-8382-1798-7
- 268 *Nadiia Koval, Denys Tereshchenko (Eds.)*
Russian Cultural Diplomacy under Putin
Rossotrudnichestvo, the “Russkiy Mir” Foundation, and the Gorchakov Fund in 2007–2022
ISBN 978-3-8382-1801-4
- 269 *Izabela Kazejak*
Jews in Post-War Wrocław and L'viv
Official Policies and Local Responses in Comparative Perspective, 1945-1970s
ISBN 978-3-8382-1802-1

Jakob Hauter

**RUSSIA'S OVERLOOKED
INVASION**

The Causes of the 2014 Outbreak of
War in Ukraine's Donbas

With a foreword by Hiroaki Kuromiya

ibidem
Verlag

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at <http://dnb.d-nb.de>.

Cover graphic: Russian-led fighter in front of Sloviansk City Council on April 14, 2014.

© Yevgen Nasadyuk. Licensed under CC BY-SA 3.0 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>).

Available at: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:2014-04-14_Sloviansk_city_council_-_3.jpg

ISBN-13: 978-3-8382-1803-8

© *ibidem*-Verlag, Stuttgart 2023

Alle Rechte vorbehalten

Das Werk einschließlich aller seiner Teile ist urheberrechtlich geschützt. Jede Verwertung außerhalb der engen Grenzen des Urheberrechtsgesetzes ist ohne Zustimmung des Verlages unzulässig und strafbar. Dies gilt insbesondere für Vervielfältigungen, Übersetzungen, Mikroverfilmungen und elektronische Speicherformen sowie die Einspeicherung und Verarbeitung in elektronischen Systemen.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the publisher. Any person who does any unauthorized act in relation to this publication may be liable to criminal prosecution and civil claims for damages.

Printed in the EU

Acknowledgements

This book is an updated and streamlined version of my PhD thesis (Hauter 2022), which I defended in early February 2022. It is dedicated to all those fighting for a free and democratic Ukraine. Neither my thesis nor this book would have been possible without the people who supported me along the way.

Andreas Umland first inspired me to research the genesis of the Donbas War in a café on Kyiv's Left Bank in summer 2015. Andrew Wilson showed an interest in my project and agreed to supervise me when I decided to go ahead with the PhD endeavor in 2018. He was always there to provide new thoughts and ideas, but he also gave me the space I needed to develop the project in my own way. Ben Noble helped me focus and structure my research and encouraged me to get work published sooner rather than later. His feedback on my drafts always found the right balance between praise and constructive criticism. He and Andrew made the perfect supervisory team.

In addition, Pete Duncan's door was always open, and he was always happy to give advice when I needed it. Kristin Roth-Ey led a great introductory workshop for our PhD student cohort, which covered all the bases. Seth Graham took over from her as graduate tutor and steered the ship through the storm of the pandemic. Oxana Shevel, Dominique Arel, and Vincent Keating were critical but helpful discussants of my presentations at conferences in Ottawa and Paris. Many other conference participants provided helpful feedback as well.

Carolyn Heilig, Carlos Gómez del Tronco, Anna Staniszlubowiecka, Ursula Woolley, Julia Klimova, Dave Dalton, Peter Flew, David Rypel, and my other fellow PhD students were great listeners and great company throughout our time together at SSEES. Hiroaki Kuromiya and Mark Galeotti agreed to examine my thesis and raised some important points in their reports and during the viva, which helped me further improve my work. Eyal Weizman, Stefan Laxness, and the great team at Forensic Architecture gave me the opportunity to take part in their investigation of the Battle of Ilovaisk.

My dear Ukrainian friends Artem Shaipov, Vsevolod Mazurenko, Viktoria Savchuk, and Yuliia Shaipova helped me maintain my personal connection with Ukraine, its language, and its people throughout the project. Especially Artem's family showed amazing hospitality when we visited their home in the Donbas in summer 2018. I sincerely hope that one day they will be able to return to a peaceful Bakhmut and rebuild what Russia destroyed.

Finally, my wife Sophie Rust, my parents, my brother, and all my other friends always had my back while I was absorbed by my work and by events in the Donbas. I am very grateful for their love, patience, and support.

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	5
List of Abbreviations	12
Foreword by <i>Hiroaki Kuromiya</i>	13
1. Introduction	21
1.1. Researching War	23
1.2. In Defense of Case-Study Analysis	25
1.3. The Donbas in 2014: Civil War or Invasion?.....	27
1.3.1. Why it Matters.....	30
1.3.2. The Evidence and Its Shortcomings	32
1.4. Chapter Conclusion.....	39
2. The War’s Critical Junctures.....	41
2.1. A Donbas Conflict Escalation Ladder	41
2.1.1. Steps and Thresholds	44
2.1.2. Non-Thresholds	48
2.2. Six Critical Junctures	50
2.2.1. Non-Junctures: The Protests of March 2014.....	52
2.2.2. Juncture 1: Donetsk and Luhansk, Early April.....	54
2.2.3. Juncture 2: Sloviansk, Kramatorsk, and Surroundings, Mid-April	55
2.2.4. Juncture 3: Mariupol—Where Separatism Failed, Mid-April to Mid-June	58
2.2.5. Juncture 4: The Fighting Spreads, Late May.....	58
2.2.6. Juncture 5: Tanks and Heavy Artillery, June-July	59
2.2.7. Juncture 6: The Ukrainian Defeat of Late August.....	59
2.3. Chapter Conclusion.....	60
3. Digital Forensic Process Tracing	63

3.1.	Four Benchmarks for Good Process Tracing	63
3.1.1.	Separating Explanations and Evidence	64
3.1.2.	Considering Alternative Explanations	65
3.1.3.	Practicing Source Criticism.....	66
3.1.4.	Updating Probabilities	66
3.2.	The Neglect of Source Criticism and Probabilistic Reasoning	67
3.3.	The Potential of Digital Open Source Information	69
3.4.	Operationalizing Digital Forensic Process Tracing	72
3.4.1.	Five Philosophical Challenges	73
3.4.2.	Five Pragmatic Solutions	75
3.4.3.	Additional Limitations and Remedies.....	80
3.4.4.	Digital Forensic Process Tracing in Practice	83
3.5.	Chapter Conclusion	85
4.	Of Arms and Barricades: Donetsk and Luhansk in Early April	87
4.1.	Enthusiasts or Agents? The Role of Grassroots Activism	91
4.1.1.	The Burden of Proof	92
4.1.2.	The Chaotic Birth of the Donetsk People’s Republic.....	92
4.1.3.	Partisans from Stakhanov? The “Army of the Southeast” in Luhansk.....	96
4.2.	Apathetic Oligarchs: The Role of Local Elites	99
4.2.1.	The Yanukovych “Family”	99
4.2.2.	Rinat Akhmetov	102
4.2.3.	Oleksandr Yefremov.....	105
4.2.4.	Oleksandr Bobkov	108
4.2.5.	Other Potential Sponsors	109
4.3.	Cossacks, Eurasianists, and Kremlin Advisers: The Role of Russia	110
4.3.1.	The Glazyev Tapes.....	111
4.3.2.	Eurasianism and Its Friends in Donetsk.....	113

4.3.3.	The Crimean Link	116
4.3.4.	The Don Cossack Network.....	117
4.3.5.	FSB and GRU in Luhansk	118
4.4.	Chapter Conclusion.....	122
5.	Enter Igor Girkin: The Occupation of Sloviansk and Kramatorsk.....	125
5.1.	From Crimea to the Donbas: The Genesis of Girkin’s Militia	129
5.2.	Girkin’s Links to the Kremlin: The Oligarch and the “Prime Minister”	140
5.3.	Actions without Consequences: Girkin’s Return to Russia	143
5.4.	Order or Tacit Approval? On Putin’s Role	144
5.5.	A Separatist Bandwagon: The Role of Locals	147
5.6.	Chapter Conclusion.....	149
6.	Mariupol: Where Separatism Failed	151
6.1.	Mariupol’s Separatist Movement.....	157
6.2.	Oligarchic Resistance? The Role of Rinat Akhmetov.....	159
6.3.	A Handshake and Some Weapons: The Role of Russia.....	162
6.4.	Mariupol Elsewhere: A Counterfactual Thought Experiment.....	165
7.	The Fighting Spreads	167
7.1.	The Volnovakha Attack: A “Demon” from Russia	170
7.1.1.	The Origins of Igor Bezler and His Group	171
7.1.2.	Bezler’s Second Passport.....	173
7.1.3.	Bezler’s Handler: Introducing Vasiliy Geranin.....	174

7.2. Donetsk and Surroundings: Boroday's "Volunteers"	178
7.2.1. Russian Fighters in Donetsk	179
7.2.2. Boroday and Surkov	182
7.3. Luhansk City: Where Wagner Meets Orion	185
7.3.1. The Wagner Group in Luhansk	186
7.3.2. Orion, Elbrus, and Pavel Karpov	189
7.3.3. Yevgeniy Prigozhin: Putin's Chef	192
7.4. Luhansk Oblast: The Don Cossack Invasion	193
7.4.1. Ataman Nikolay Kozitsyn and the "Cossack National Guard"	193
7.4.2. Pavlo Dromov and Oleksii Mozghovyi	194
7.5. The Role of Locals and Kremlin Infighting	197
7.6. Chapter Conclusion	198
8. The Calibers Grow	201
8.1. From Russia to the Donbas: The First Convoys of Heavy Arms	203
8.1.1. Few Places to Rob: The Unavailability of Ukrainian Heavy Arms	203
8.1.2. From Siberia to the Donbas: The June 12 Tank Convoy	206
8.1.3. Burning Vegetables: The June 13 Grad Attack on Dobropillia	209
8.1.4. Armor Patterns on a Russian Highway: The June 19-21 Tank Convoys	212
8.1.5. The Artemivsk Tank Depot: An Implausible Source	214
8.2. Losing Territory, but Gaining Tanks: The Separatists in July 2014	215
8.3. Russia's Envoys and the Downing of MH17	217
8.3.1. Civil Society's Tanks: Sergey Kurginyan in Donetsk	217
8.3.2. Appoint More Russians: The Separatist Administrations in Early July	218
8.3.3. MH17	220

8.4. Chapter Conclusion.....	221
9. The Tide Turns: The Ukrainian Defeat of August 2014.....	225
9.1. Prologue I: Cross-Border Shelling	228
9.2. Prologue II: The Pskov Paratroopers	230
9.3. The Battle of Ilovaisk.....	232
9.4. The Battle of Luhansk	235
9.5. The Battle of Novoazovsk.....	238
9.6. Chapter Conclusion.....	240
10. Conclusion	243
10.1. Russia's Invasion in Disguise.....	243
10.1.1. Donetsk and Luhansk in early April.....	244
10.1.2. Sloviansk and Kramatorsk.....	244
10.1.3. Mariupol.....	245
10.1.4. The Fighting Spreads.....	246
10.1.5. Tanks and Heavy Artillery	247
10.1.6. Ukraine Defeated	247
10.1.7. Counterfactual Scenarios	248
10.2. New Ways to Study War	249
10.2.1. Reviving Escalation Theory.....	249
10.2.2. Digital Forensic Process Tracing.....	251
10.3. Academic Implications	254
10.4. How the West Failed Ukraine	258
10.5. Lessons to Be Learned	260
10.6. On Multicausality: Concluding Remarks.....	262
References	267

List of Abbreviations

COW	Correlates of War project
DNR	Donetsk People’s Republic
DOSI	digital open source information
DR	Donetsk Republic
ESM	Eurasian Youth Movement
FSB	Federal Security Service (Russian domestic intelligence service)
GRU	Main Intelligence Directorate (Russian military intelligence service)
JIT	Joint Investigation Committee (for the downing of Malaysian Airlines flight MH17 over the Donbas)
LNR	Luhansk People’s Republic
MID	military interstate disputes
NVO	Nezavisimoye Voyennoye Obozreniye (Russian weekly newspaper focusing on military affairs)
OSINT	open source intelligence
OUN	Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists
SBU	Security Service of Ukraine
UCDP	Uppsala Conflict Data Program

Foreword

If the twentieth century marked the apogee and the ultimate demise of imperialism, the twentieth-first century signaled a post-imperialist world. When Moscow annexed Crimea and surreptitiously invaded eastern Ukraine in 2014, the world was shocked, even though with hindsight it should not have been such a surprise. Still one can sympathize with Angela Merkel, the then Chancellor of Germany, who intoned about Russia's military assault on Ukraine:

Who would've thought that 25 years after the fall of the wall, after the end of the Cold War, after the end of the division of Europe and the end of the world being divided in two, something like that can happen right at [the] heart of Europe? (Smale 2014)

The collapse of any empire is a messy business. It creates complex and tangled territorial, ethnic, linguistic, and a host of other vexing issues, leaving anguished and often spiteful legacies everywhere affected by it. Today the world is coping with these issues and legacies. The collapse of the Russian (Soviet) Empire in 1991 is no exception. Yet, as Edyta Bojanowska (2022) reminds us, "Russia is the only European state that has engaged in a reconquest of its former imperial dominions."

Jakob Hauter's eminently readable book, *Russia's Overlooked Invasion*, examines Russia's attempt to reconquer Ukraine in 2014, focusing on Moscow's clandestine operations in the Donbas, significant parts of which were occupied that year through Russian military operations. The book addresses rigorously both theoretical and empirical issues of the invasion. This preface is intended to help readers comprehend the dramatic and consequential events of 2014 in a broader, historical context.

In the messy aftermath of the collapse of the Soviet Union, no region of the former Soviet Union was left in as contradictory, enigmatic, and even incomprehensible a state as the Donbas. It is important to examine why, if we are to understand how and why the war broke out in the Donbas in 2014. Hauter's book defines the

Donbas as two oblasts in eastern Ukraine, Donetsk and Luhansk, bordering the Russian Federation. In 2014, together they accounted for approximately nine percent of Ukraine's territory and 15 percent of its population. The Donbas is a vast steppe land where the coal and steel industry developed in the 19th and 20th centuries. Its importance as an industrial dynamo was such that during the Stalin years it was called the "All-Union Stokehold." Historically speaking, the Donbas was a Cossack land, the "wild field," so called because the competing political authorities that sought to dominate it, the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, Muscovy, and the Ottoman Empire, could not really control it. The "wild field" symbolized a sort of political vacuum where people could seek refuge and find a degree of freedom. From the sixteenth century onward, those who fled there established military brotherhoods for the purpose of self-defense and came to be called Cossacks (derived from a Turkic word signifying 'free men'). Their center was in Zaporizhzhia, just to the west of the Donbas, in today's Ukraine. While much of today's Donbas belonged to the Zaporizhzhian (Ukrainian) Cossacks, who were only nominally under Polish-Lithuanian control, smaller parts in the eastern Donbas were claimed by a different Cossack group, the (Russian) Don Cossacks, formed and developed at about the same time mainly by those who had fled autocratic Muscovite rule as it expanded and amplified serfdom. Much of this smaller area came under Muscovite rule in the seventeenth century. The Zaporizhzhian Cossack lands (most of today's Donbas) came under Russian rule in the eighteenth century when the Russian Czar Catherine II conquered and subjugated the free men and their lands to Russia's autocratic rule. The vast land grab by Russia at the time included Crimea as well. Catherine called the newly acquired lands on the northern shore of the Black Sea "New Russia," a reflection of nakedly imperialist hubris.

Since the Donbas was historically a non-Russian land, the Russian government invited ethnic Russians, Germans, and many others to settle in "New Russia." The discovery of vast coal deposits in the Donbas and the subsequent rapid industrialization in the late 19th century and the first half of the 20th century further impacted the ethnic composition of the Donbas. Ethnic Russians began to settle in towns and mines en masse and left a strong cultural and

linguistic imprint on the Donbas. The lingua franca of the Donbas as a whole, as of most of “New Russia,” became Russian, while the countryside, inhabited largely by ethnic Ukrainians, generally retained the Ukrainian language. Never, however, in the history of the Donbas did ethnic Russians constitute a majority. In the last Soviet census of 1989, for example, ethnic Ukrainians accounted for just over 50 percent. Although sizeable, ethnic Russians constituted at most approximately 44 percent. Since Ukraine’s independence, the proportion of ethnic Russians has dropped below 40 percent.

Despite changes wrought by waves of industrialization and brutal Soviet rule lasting from 1918 to 1991, the Donbas never really lost its reputation as the wild and free steppe. The industrialization of the Donbas in both the Czarist and Soviet periods created vast opportunities for all kinds of people who wanted freedom: fortune hunters, criminals, adventurers, the poor and desperate, and those who fled political, economic, and religious persecution. Even during the period of the harshest rule under Stalin, the Donbas retained its reputation as a refuge for freedom-seekers. Many fleeing from Stalin’s collectivization and de-kulakisation (dispossession of peasants) hid, both literally and figuratively, in the Donbas underground, as coal miners (Kuromiya 1998). Most interestingly, after World War Two, Ukrainian partisans fighting a losing war against Soviet military forces and unable to escape to the West, were advised to go to the Donbas and hide there (Armstrong 1990, 221). Despite the constant threat of political persecution, the Donbas has remained a land of refuge and freedom through much of its modern history.

The Donbas was and remains an enigma for many outsiders. Nearly every political party has gotten its hand burnt in the Donbas. That was the case in the past and remains so today. In this sense, the Donbas has always been a notorious political playground.

The reason for the notoriety comes down largely to the apparent contradictory nature of the Donbas, representing as it does freedom to some, but enslavement to others, as epitomized by the dangerous, hard, and exploitative labor of the coal mines (Kulchytskyi and Yakubova 2016, 18–20). Equally significant is the powerful prejudice within Ukraine against the Donbas as an “uncultured” brute

land. Not long ago one of the most noted contemporary Ukrainian intellectuals dismissed the Donbas as a non-European “proto-cultural wasteland” that “easily succumbs to political manipulation in connection with a black-and-white view of the world,” and its people as “medieval-feudal” or “Cro-Magnon-Neanderthal” (Andruxhovich 2005, 3; 2006, 10–11). And while there is no question that wild oppression and naked exploitation existed, the Donbas has continued to stand for freedom, at least until very recently. The Donbas shares this contradiction with America, which oddly embodies both freedom and oppression for many non-Whites. To the chagrin of all political parties, the Donbas as a whole has never adhered to any particular political orientation, just like the Ukrainian Cossacks, whose constantly shifting alliances angered all parties concerned (Poles, Russians, and Ottomans).

Yet the Donbas was and is far from an unprincipled mercenary force, easily manipulated by outside forces. Its seemingly cunning and baffling political orientation actually was and is a well-defined self-defense strategy, typical of border regions in general, to cope with competing outside political forces whom it distrusts. The Donbas as a “free” land never ceased to attract refugees. Indeed, people with nowhere to go tested their luck in the Donbas. Such was the case, even in the late Stalin era, with the father of Anatoly Shcharansky (today an Israeli politician), who could not work in Odesa because of the anti-Semitism and was told to go to the Donbas: “Try your luck in Stalino [today’s Donetsk]” (Kuromiya 1998, 325). If freedom constitutes the essence of Ukrainian national identity, the Donbas historically embodies it. It also means that the Donbas has attracted, in addition to those seeking freedom, all kinds of intriguers and political “riff-raff” who could not operate elsewhere. In the years leading up to 2014, Russia almost certainly dispatched, unhindered, such operatives to the Donbas.

True, the Donbas was a problem child for the powers that be. Yet it did not mean that, after the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Donbas rejected integration into Ukraine. On the contrary, in 1991 the Donbas population overwhelmingly supported Ukraine’s independence, with over 80 percent of its population voting in favor. While Ukrainian politics ultimately disappointed the Donbas population, and discontent mounted, the people of the Donbas still

envisaged their future within the framework of an independent Ukraine. Before 2014, there were few signs of separatist sentiments or movements in the Donbas. Popular separatism emerged only after Russia's intervention in the Donbas in 2014. Hauter is absolutely correct that "while separatist sentiment may cause conflict, conflict may also cause separatist sentiment" (see section 1.3.2.5. of this book). It was conflict brought from outside that introduced popular separatism to the Donbas in 2014.

In the years leading up to 2014, the political integration of the Donbas into the Ukrainian body politic proceeded quietly. Far from separating from Ukraine, the Donbas politicians sought to seize power in the capital, Kyiv. They failed in 2004–5 due to the "Orange Revolution," but they succeeded in 2010 with Viktor Yanukovich, a politician from the Donbas backed by Moscow, elected as Ukraine's President. Through Yanukovich, Moscow sought to control Ukraine. However, as a Ukrainian politician, he was not in agreement with Moscow on all issues. Nevertheless, the policies and the governing style of Yanukovich's administration, which was influenced by Moscow's behind-the-scenes machinations, ultimately led to a mass rebellion in Kyiv in 2013–14 (the "Revolution of Dignity" or "Maidan Revolution"). Initially, Yanukovich did not seem to have had the stomach for killing the protesters, but eventually several dozen protesters died at the hands of the security forces, possibly with the clandestine involvement of Russian operatives. Resisting the orders of Vladimir Putin to cling to his presidential powers, Yanukovich fled. Russia's military occupation of Crimea ensued immediately in February 2014. Shortly thereafter, Russia invaded the Donbas.

Granted, distrust of and discontent with Kyiv was palpable in the Donbas even during the Yanukovich era, yet popular separatism was absent. The people in the Donbas may have helped to elect Yanukovich in 2010, yet he was known there as the "thief from Yenakieve [Yanukovich's hometown in the Donbas]" and the "shame of the Donbas" (Studenna-Skruckwa 2014, 284–285). Yanukovich and his gang were "bandits," but they were "*our* bandits" (emphasis added). One Donbas worker noted quite revealingly: "Yanukovich is a criminal... all governments are criminal" (Kuromiya 2019, 249).

As for Putin's claims of any animosity toward ethnic Russians or Russian-speaking people in the Donbas, this is nothing short of ludicrous. Even Pavel Gubarev, who had become one of the separatist leaders in the Donbas, openly proclaimed that "here [in the Donbas], there was no ethnic enmity" (Kuromiya 2019, 246).

Lack of ethnic enmity in an ethnically mixed area meant that the Donbas possessed much potential for democratic and civil (as opposed to ethnic) nationalism. During World War Two, Yevhen Stakhiv worked in the Donbas as an Organization of Ukrainian Nationalists (OUN) agent and found that people in the Donbas viewed the OUN ideologue, Dmytro Dontsov, as a "fascist." Under the influence of the Donbas people, Stakhiv, who had once idealized Spain's Franco regime, "abandoned a narrowly defined Ukrainian nationalism and embraced the ideal of a democratic Ukraine without discrimination against its national minorities." Until his death many years later, Stakhiv remained grateful to the Donbas people for his democratic conversion (Stakhiv 1995, 133–134, 308). Far from an anti-democratic bastion, the Donbas exerted a democratizing influence on the Ukrainian body politic.

The open, free, and seemingly indeterminate nature of the Donbas, however, did facilitate stealth political and military intervention from outside. Taking full advantage of the prejudiced views of the Donbas prevalent in Ukraine and beyond, Putin claimed absurdly that the Donbas was not and is not Ukrainian, but rather historically and inherently Russian, with persecution against ethnic Russians and Russophones prevalent. Moreover, Putin, formerly an intelligence officer of the Soviet (Communist) state, asserted facetiously that he would help Kyiv's efforts to "de-communize" Ukraine by destroying Ukraine itself. After all, according to Putin, it was Vladimir I. Lenin who created the "artificial" entity called Ukraine and separated it from Russia; it was also Lenin who in 1918 opposed the separation of the Donbas and the surrounding regions from Ukraine and disbanded the "Donets-Krivoi Rog Republic" (created by a small number of Bolsheviks), incorporating it into Ukraine (Putin 2022a). In other words, Putin now attacks Lenin and the Bolsheviks as anti-Russian and pro-Ukrainian.

Today's Russian military forces use a two-volume textbook on *maskirovka* (camouflage), which they boast is "three times longer

than Leo Tolstoy's *War and Peace*" (Ash 2015). Disinformation, camouflage, conspiracy, and covert subversion are the essence of Putin's political operations. He inherited them from the grand yet largely unexamined experience of the Soviet state. He deployed all of these in making his grab for "New Russia" in 2014.

Putin's covert operations have fooled many Western academics and observers, who still claim, even after having witnessed Russia's full-scale invasion of Ukraine in 2022, that the war that engulfed the Donbas in 2014 was essentially a civil war. Hauter's meticulous and methodologically rigorous analysis of the events of 2014 makes it abundantly clear that they are wrong: The war was an interstate war initiated by Russia's covert military invasion.

Hiroaki Kuromiya
Indiana University Bloomington, US